Generic Sorting By Insertion

Jorge Sousa Pinto PURe Cafe 11-03-04

I. List Homomorphisms

• "Sorting" is a list homomorphism:

isort $(l_1 ++ l_2) = (\text{isort } l_1) \odot (\text{isort } l_2)$

• can be calculated leftwards or rightwards:

```
isort = foldr insert []
isort = foldl insert' []
where insert' l x = insert x l
```

[Bird, 1987]

- note that an associative operator exists s.t. insert $x \ l = [x] \odot l$
- since this is the unique function that can be calculated in these two ways, we call it simply

hom (
$$\odot$$
) ($\backslash x \to [x]$) []

2. Sorting by Insertion

We consider a container type constructor C with operations

ist ::
$$a \to C \ a \to C \ a$$

tl :: $C \ a \to [a]$

verifying equations

$$t \ \varepsilon = [] \qquad (1)$$

$$t \ (ist \ x \ c) = insert \ x \ (t \ c) \qquad (2)$$

we define a function

gisort = tl \circ (foldr ist ε)

this is a sorting algorithm since gisort = foldr insert []

Proof: straightforward application of foldr fusion law

3. Examples of sorting by Insertion

We instantiate tl as follows for node-labeled and leaf-labeled binary trees:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{t}|\mathsf{BTree} &= \mathsf{foldBTree} \; (\setminus y \; l \; r \to [y] \odot l \odot r) \; [] \\ \mathsf{t}|\mathsf{LTree} &= \mathsf{foldLTree} \; (\odot) \; t \; \mathsf{where} \; t \; \mathsf{Nothing} = [\;] \\ & t \; (\mathsf{Just} \; x) = [x] \end{aligned}$

using a heap as container:

```
isth :: (Ord a) => a -> Heap a -> Heap a
isth x Empty = Node x Empty Empty
isth x (Node y l r) | x<y = Node x (isth y r) l
| otherwise = Node y (isth x r) l
```

isorth = tlBTree . (foldr isth Empty)

using a bst as container:

```
istq :: (Ord a) => a -> BTree a -> BTree a
istq x Empty = Node x Empty Empty
istq x (Node y l r) | x<y = Node y (istq x l) r
| otherwise = Node y l (istq x r)
```

```
isortq = tlBTree . (foldr istq Empty)
```

using a leaf-tree as container:

```
istm :: (Ord a) => a -> LTree a -> LTree a
istm x (Leaf Nothing) = Leaf (Just x)
istm x (Leaf (Just y)) = Branch (Leaf (Just x)) (Leaf (Just y))
istm x (Branch l r) = Branch (istm x r) l
```

isortm = tlLTree . (foldr istm (Leaf Nothing))

recall equations to be proved:

$$t \ \varepsilon = [] \qquad (1)$$

$$t \ (ist \ x \ c) = insert \ x \ (t \ c) \qquad (2)$$

. - .

(1) is straightforward for these examples

Example proof (outline)

 $\mathsf{tILTree} \circ (\mathsf{istm} \ x) = (\mathsf{insert} \ x) \circ \mathsf{tILTree}$

I. paramorphism fusion on lhs:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{tlLTree} \circ (\mathsf{istm} \ x) &= \mathsf{paraLTree} \ a \ b \\ b \ \mathsf{Nothing} &= [x] \\ b \ (\mathsf{Just} \ y) &= [x] \odot [y] \\ a \ l \ l' \ r \ r' &= r' \odot (\mathsf{tlLTree} \ l) \end{aligned}$$

2. express the fold tlLTree as a paramorphism, then apply (strong) paramorphism fusion to prove

 $(\text{insert } x) \circ \mathsf{tILTree} = \mathsf{paraLTree} \ a \ b$

4. Generic Sorting Accumulations

Specification:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{gisort}_t &:: [a] \to \mathcal{C} \ a \to [a] \\ \mathsf{gisort}_t \ l \ y = (\mathsf{isort} \ l) \odot (\mathsf{tl} \ y) \end{split}$$

can be rewritten as

 $gisort_t = (\oplus) \circ isort_t$

where $s \oplus y = s \odot (t | y)$.

We apply fusion again to get a higher-order fold: foldr ist' $tl = (\oplus) \circ (foldr insert [])$

where

$$\mathsf{ist}' x f y = f (\mathsf{ist} x y)$$

or with explicit recursion

```
gisort_t :: (Ord a) => [a] -> C a -> [a]
gisort_t [] y = tl y
gisort_t (x:xs) y = gisort_t xs (ist x y)
```

5. Sorting Hylos

- properties of the intermediate structures easily proved by induction:
 - foldr isth Empty and foldr istm (Leaf Nothing) generate balanced trees.
 - foldr isth Empty generates heaps...
 - foldr istq Empty generates BSTs...

this allows to refine tlBTree:

for heaps, tIBTRee becomes

catahsort :: (Ord a) => Heap a -> [a]
catahsort = foldBTree (\y a b -> y:(merge a b)) []

for BSTs, tlBTRee becomes

```
cataqsort :: (Ord a) => BTree a -> [a]
cataqsort = foldBTree (\y a b -> a++y:b) []
```

for leaf-trees, tlLTRee = catamsort

Overall, the intermediate structures constructed by folding over the unsorted list:

- have the same characteristics
- take the same asymptotic time to build

as those constructed by unfolding the trees, as in the standard sorting hylomorphisms.

The algorithms are in this sense equivalent.

To sum up, the specification of "sorting" is the list homomorphism hom (\odot) ($\backslash x \rightarrow [x]$) []. The efficiency of computing it directly leftwards or rightwards can be improved by using an intermediate tree structure (constructed either by folding or unfolding). The tree can be converted to the result type of the homomorphism by folding, using the parameters (\odot), ($\backslash x \rightarrow [x]$), [] of the homomorphism. This fold may be optimized depending on properties of the intermediate structures.

This not only shows an interesting common structure of the divide-and-conquer algorithms, but it also proposes a design principle that can be applied to other list homomorphisms.