

Labelled Transition Systems

Luís S. Barbosa

DI-CCTC
Universidade do Minho
Braga, Portugal

21 February, 2011

Reactive systems

Reactive system

system that computes by reacting to stimuli from its environment along its overall computation

- in contrast to sequential systems whose meaning is defined by the results of finite computations, the behaviour of reactive systems is mainly determined by **interaction** and **mobility** of **non-terminating** processes, evolving **concurrently**.
- **observation** \Leftrightarrow interaction
- **behaviour** \Leftrightarrow a structured record of interactions

Reactive systems

Concurrency vs interaction

$x := 0;$

$x := x + 1 \mid x := x + 2$

- both statements in **parallel** could read x before it is written
- which values can x take?
- which is the program outcome if **exclusive access** to memory and **atomic execution** of assignments is guaranteed?

Labelled Transition System

Definition

A LTS over a set N of names is a tuple $\langle S, N, \downarrow, \longrightarrow \rangle$ where

- $S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots\}$ is a set of states
- $\downarrow \subseteq S$ is the set of **terminating** or final states

$$\downarrow s \Leftrightarrow s \in \downarrow$$

- $\longrightarrow \subseteq S \times N \times S$ is the transition relation, often given as an N -indexed family of binary relations

$$s \xrightarrow{a} s' \Leftrightarrow \langle s', a, s \rangle \in \longrightarrow$$

Labelled Transition System

Morphism

A **morphism** relating two LTS over N , $\langle S, N, \downarrow, \longrightarrow \rangle$ and $\langle S', N, \downarrow', \longrightarrow' \rangle$, is a function $h : S \longrightarrow S'$ st

$$\begin{array}{lcl} s \xrightarrow{a} s' & \Rightarrow & h s \xrightarrow{a}' h s' \\ s \downarrow & \Rightarrow & h s \downarrow' \end{array}$$

morphisms **preserve** transitions and **termination**

Labelled Transition System

System

Given a LTS $\langle S, N, \downarrow, \longrightarrow \rangle$, each state $s \in S$ determines a **system** over all states reachable from s and the corresponding restrictions of \longrightarrow and \downarrow .

LTS classification

- deterministic
- non deterministic
- finite
- image finite
- ...

Reachability

Definition

The reachability relation, $\longrightarrow^* \subseteq S \times N \times S$, is defined inductively

- $s \xrightarrow{\epsilon}^* s'$ for each $s \in S$, where $\epsilon \in N^*$ denotes the empty word;
- if $s \xrightarrow{\sigma}^* s''$ and $s'' \xrightarrow{a} s'$ then $s \xrightarrow{\sigma a}^* s'$, for $a \in N, \sigma \in N^*$

Reachable state

$t \in S$ is **reachable** from $s \in S$ iff there is a word $\sigma \in N^*$ st $s \xrightarrow{\sigma}^* t$

Language equivalence

Run

A word $\sigma \in N^*$ is a **run** (or a complete trace) of a state $s \in S$ iff there is another state $t \in S$, reachable from s such that $\downarrow t$

Language

The language recognized by a state $s \in S$ is the **set of runs** of s

Language equivalence

Two states are **language equivalent** if both recognize the same language

Automata

Back to old friends?

automaton behaviour \Leftrightarrow accepted language

Recall that finite automata recognize **regular** languages, i.e. generated by

- $L_1 + L_2 \triangleq L_1 \cup L_2$ (union)
- $L_1 \cdot L_2 \triangleq \{st \mid s \in L_1, t \in L_2\}$ (concatenation)
- $L^* \triangleq \{\epsilon\} \cup L \cup (L \cdot L) \cup (L \cdot L \cdot L) \cup \dots$ (iteration)

Automata

There is a **syntax** to specify such languages:

$$E ::= \epsilon \mid a \mid E + E \mid E E \mid E^*$$

where $a \in \Sigma$.

- which regular expression specifies $\{a, bc\}$?
- and $\{ca, cb\}$?

and an **algebra of regular expressions**:

$$(E_1 + E_2) + E_3 = E_1 + (E_2 + E_3)$$

$$(E_1 + E_2) E_3 = E_1 E_3 + E_2 E_3$$

$$E_1 (E_2 E_1)^* = (E_1 E_2)^* E_1$$

Automata

There is a **syntax** to specify such languages:

$$E ::= \epsilon \mid a \mid E + E \mid E E \mid E^*$$

where $a \in \Sigma$.

- which regular expression specifies $\{a, bc\}$?
- and $\{ca, cb\}$?

and an **algebra of regular expressions**:

$$(E_1 + E_2) + E_3 = E_1 + (E_2 + E_3)$$

$$(E_1 + E_2) E_3 = E_1 E_3 + E_2 E_3$$

$$E_1 (E_2 E_1)^* = (E_1 E_2)^* E_1$$

After thoughts

... need more general models and theories:

- Several interaction points (\neq functions)
- Need to distinguish normal from anomolous termination (eg deadlock)
- Non determinisim should be taken seriously: the notion of equivalence based on accepted language is blind wrt non determinism
- Moreover: the reactive characters of systems ential that not only the generated language is important, but also the states traversed during an execution of the automata.

The course

Aims

- To become familiar with **reactive systems**, emphasizing their **concurrent composition** and **continuous interaction with their environment**
- To introduce techniques for (formal) specification, analysis and verification of reactive systems

The course

Syllabus

1. Part 1: Basic models for reactive systems
 - 1.1 Transition systems, behaviour and bisimilarity
 - 1.2 Introduction to process algebra
 - 1.3 Specification and calculus of reactive systems in CCS
 - 1.4 Logics for processes
2. Part 2: Reactive systems with real-time constraints
 - 2.1 Temporal automata
 - 2.2 Specification, analysis and verification of reactive systems with real-time constraints
3. Part 3: Reactive systems with mobility requirements
 - 3.1 Mobility and interaction
 - 3.2 Introduction to the π -calculus
4. **Laboratory:** Practice in MCRL2