

# Pure $\lambda$ -calculus

Sabine Broda

Departamento de Ciência de Computadores  
Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto

MAP-i, Porto 2008

# $\lambda$ -calculus

# $\lambda$ -calculus

- conceived (ca. 1930) as part of a general (later shown inconsistent) theory of functions and logic, intended as a foundation for mathematics;

# $\lambda$ -calculus

- conceived (ca. 1930) as part of a general (later shown inconsistent) theory of functions and logic, intended as a foundation for mathematics;
- all recursive functions can be represented in the (pure)  $\lambda$ -calculus;

# $\lambda$ -calculus

- conceived (ca. 1930) as part of a general (later shown inconsistent) theory of functions and logic, intended as a foundation for mathematics;
- all recursive functions can be represented in the (pure)  $\lambda$ -calculus;
- theory modelling functions and their applicative behaviour;

# $\lambda$ -calculus

- conceived (ca. 1930) as part of a general (later shown inconsistent) theory of functions and logic, intended as a foundation for mathematics;
- all recursive functions can be represented in the (pure)  $\lambda$ -calculus;
- theory modelling functions and their applicative behaviour;
- concept of function seen as a rule, i.e. process of passing an argument to a value (contrary to the notion of seeing a function as a graph);

# $\lambda$ -calculus

- conceived (ca. 1930) as part of a general (later shown inconsistent) theory of functions and logic, intended as a foundation for mathematics;
- all recursive functions can be represented in the (pure)  $\lambda$ -calculus;
- theory modelling functions and their applicative behaviour;
- concept of function seen as a rule, i.e. process of passing an argument to a value (contrary to the notion of seeing a function as a graph);
- this is important for the study of computability and for theory of computation in general, since it emphasizes the computational aspect associated to the notion of function.

# $\lambda$ -terms



# $\lambda$ -terms

- infinite set of *term-variables*  $x, y, z, \dots$ ;

# $\lambda$ -terms

- infinite set of *term-variables*  $x, y, z, \dots$ ;
  - each variable  $x$  is a  $\lambda$ -term;

# $\lambda$ -terms

- infinite set of *term-variables*  $x, y, z, \dots$ ;
  - each variable  $x$  is a  $\lambda$ -term;
  - if  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\lambda$ -terms, then  $(MN)$  is a  $\lambda$ -term, (*application*);

# $\lambda$ -terms

- infinite set of *term-variables*  $x, y, z, \dots$ ;
  - each variable  $x$  is a  $\lambda$ -term;
  - if  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\lambda$ -terms, then  $(MN)$  is a  $\lambda$ -term, (*application*);
  - if  $M$  is a  $\lambda$ -term and  $x$  a variable, then  $(\lambda x.M)$  is a  $\lambda$ -term, (*abstraction*).

# $\lambda$ -terms

- infinite set of *term-variables*  $x, y, z, \dots$ ;
  - each variable  $x$  is a  $\lambda$ -term;
  - if  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\lambda$ -terms, then  $(MN)$  is a  $\lambda$ -term, (*application*);
  - if  $M$  is a  $\lambda$ -term and  $x$  a variable, then  $(\lambda x.M)$  is a  $\lambda$ -term, (*abstraction*).

**Examples:**  $(\lambda x.x)$ ,  $(x(\lambda y.(xy)))$ ,...

# Conventions

# Conventions

- application associates to the left;

$MNO$  stands for  $((MN)O)$

# Conventions

- application associates to the left;

$MNO$  stands for  $((MN)O)$

- bodies of lambdas extend as far as possible;

$\lambda x.\lambda y.M$  stands for  $\lambda x.(\lambda y.M)$



# Conventions

- application associates to the left;

$MNO$  stands for  $((MN)O)$

- bodies of lambdas extend as far as possible;

$\lambda x.\lambda y.M$  stands for  $\lambda x.(\lambda y.M)$

- nested lambdas may be collapsed together;

$\lambda xy.M$  stands for  $\lambda x.(\lambda y.M)$

# Bound occurrences of variables - $\alpha$ -conversion

## Bound occurrences of variables - $\alpha$ -conversion

- all occurrences of a variable  $x$  that occur in an expression of the form  $\lambda x.M$  are *bound*;

## Bound occurrences of variables - $\alpha$ -conversion

- all occurrences of a variable  $x$  that occur in an expression of the form  $\lambda x.M$  are *bound*;
- an occurrence of a variable that is not bound is called *free*;

## Bound occurrences of variables - $\alpha$ -conversion

- all occurrences of a variable  $x$  that occur in an expression of the form  $\lambda x.M$  are *bound*;
- an occurrence of a variable that is not bound is called *free*;
- $FV(M)$  is the set of variables with free occurrences in  $M$ ;

## Bound occurrences of variables - $\alpha$ -conversion

- all occurrences of a variable  $x$  that occur in an expression of the form  $\lambda x.M$  are *bound*;
- an occurrence of a variable that is not bound is called *free*;
- $FV(M)$  is the set of variables with free occurrences in  $M$ ;
- if  $FV(M) = \emptyset$  we say that  $M$  is closed;

## Bound occurrences of variables - $\alpha$ -conversion

- all occurrences of a variable  $x$  that occur in an expression of the form  $\lambda x.M$  are *bound*;
- an occurrence of a variable that is not bound is called *free*;
- $FV(M)$  is the set of variables with free occurrences in  $M$ ;
- if  $FV(M) = \emptyset$  we say that  $M$  is closed;
- we will consider  $\lambda$ -terms equivalent up to bound variable renaming, ( $\alpha$ -conversion).

## Bound occurrences of variables - $\alpha$ -conversion

- all occurrences of a variable  $x$  that occur in an expression of the form  $\lambda x.M$  are *bound*;
- an occurrence of a variable that is not bound is called *free*;
- $FV(M)$  is the set of variables with free occurrences in  $M$ ;
- if  $FV(M) = \emptyset$  we say that  $M$  is closed;
- we will consider  $\lambda$ -terms equivalent up to bound variable renaming, ( $\alpha$ -conversion).

**Examples:**  $\lambda xy.xyz \equiv_{\alpha} \lambda yu.yuz$ , but  $(\lambda x.x)z \not\equiv_{\alpha} (\lambda x.y)z$



# Substitution

# Substitution

The expression  $M[N/x]$  denotes the result of substituting in  $M$  each free occurrence of  $x$  by  $N$  and making any changes of bound variables needed to prevent variables free in  $N$  from becoming bound in  $M[N/x]$ .

# Substitution

The expression  $M[N/x]$  denotes the result of substituting in  $M$  each free occurrence of  $x$  by  $N$  and making any changes of bound variables needed to prevent variables free in  $N$  from becoming bound in  $M[N/x]$ .

**Example:**

$$(\lambda xy. xyz)[(\lambda u. y)/z] \neq \lambda xy. xy(\lambda u. y)$$

# Substitution

The expression  $M[N/x]$  denotes the result of substituting in  $M$  each free occurrence of  $x$  by  $N$  and making any changes of bound variables needed to prevent variables free in  $N$  from becoming bound in  $M[N/x]$ .

## Example:

$$(\lambda xy.xyz)[(\lambda u.y)/z] \not\equiv \lambda xy.xy(\lambda u.y)$$

but

$$(\lambda xy.xyz)[(\lambda u.y)/z] \equiv \lambda xv.xv(\lambda u.y)$$

# $\beta$ -reduction

# $\beta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $(\lambda x.M)N$  is called a  $\beta$ -redex;

# $\beta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $(\lambda x.M)N$  is called a  $\beta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M[N/x]$ ;

# $\beta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $(\lambda x.M)N$  is called a  $\beta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M[N/x]$ ;
- we write  $M \rightarrow_{1\beta} N$ , and say that  $M$  reduces in one step of  $\beta$ -reduction to  $N$ , iff  $N$  can be obtained from  $M$  by replacing one  $\beta$ -redex in  $M$  by its contractum;



# $\beta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $(\lambda x.M)N$  is called a  $\beta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M[N/x]$ ;
- we write  $M \rightarrow_{1\beta} N$ , and say that  $M$  reduces in one step of  $\beta$ -reduction to  $N$ , iff  $N$  can be obtained from  $M$  by replacing one  $\beta$ -redex in  $M$  by its contractum;
- $\rightarrow_{\beta}$  is the reflexive and transitive closure of  $\rightarrow_{1\beta}$ ;

# $\beta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $(\lambda x.M)N$  is called a  $\beta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M[N/x]$ ;
- we write  $M \rightarrow_{1\beta} N$ , and say that  $M$  reduces in one step of  $\beta$ -reduction to  $N$ , iff  $N$  can be obtained from  $M$  by replacing one  $\beta$ -redex in  $M$  by its contractum;
- $\rightarrow_{\beta}$  is the reflexive and transitive closure of  $\rightarrow_{1\beta}$ ;
- $\equiv_{\beta}$  is the reflexive, symmetric and transitive closure of  $\rightarrow_{1\beta}$ .

# $\beta$ -normal forms

## $\beta$ -normal forms

- A term  $M$  is said to be in  $\beta$ -normal form (or  $\beta$ -nf) if it contains no  $\beta$ -redex;

## $\beta$ -normal forms

- A term  $M$  is said to be in  $\beta$ -normal form (or  $\beta$ -nf) if it contains no  $\beta$ -redex;
- we say that  $M$  has a  $\beta$ -nf if there is some  $\beta$ -nf  $N$  such that  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N$ .

## $\beta$ -normal forms

- A term  $M$  is said to be in  $\beta$ -normal form (or  $\beta$ -nf) if it contains no  $\beta$ -redex;
- we say that  $M$  has a  $\beta$ -nf if there is some  $\beta$ -nf  $N$  such that  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N$ .

**Exercise:** Reduce the following terms to their  $\beta$ -normal form.

- $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$
- $(\lambda xy.x)(\lambda x.x)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx))$
- $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda yz.yz)$ .

## $\beta$ -normal forms

- A term  $M$  is said to be in  $\beta$ -normal form (or  $\beta$ -nf) if it contains no  $\beta$ -redex;
- we say that  $M$  has a  $\beta$ -nf if there is some  $\beta$ -nf  $N$  such that  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N$ .

**Exercise:** Reduce the following terms to their  $\beta$ -normal form.

- $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$
- $(\lambda xy.x)(\lambda x.x)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx))$
- $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda yz.yz)$ .

### Conclusions:

- The term  $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$  has no  $\beta$ -nf since
$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) &\rightarrow_{1\beta} (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) \\ &\rightarrow_{1\beta} (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) \\ &\rightarrow_{1\beta} \dots\end{aligned}$$

## $\beta$ -normal forms

- A term  $M$  is said to be in  $\beta$ -normal form (or  $\beta$ -nf) if it contains no  $\beta$ -redex;
- we say that  $M$  has a  $\beta$ -nf if there is some  $\beta$ -nf  $N$  such that  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N$ .

**Exercise:** Reduce the following terms to their  $\beta$ -normal form.

- $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$
- $(\lambda xy.x)(\lambda x.x)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx))$
- $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda yz.yz)$ .

### Conclusions:

- The term  $(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx)$  has no  $\beta$ -nf since
$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) &\rightarrow_{1\beta} (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) \\ &\rightarrow_{1\beta} (\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx) \\ &\rightarrow_{1\beta} \dots\end{aligned}$$
- the term  $(\lambda xy.x)(\lambda x.x)((\lambda x.xx)(\lambda x.xx))$  has normal form  $\lambda x.x$ , but not every reduction sequence leads to this normal form.



# Confluence

# Confluence

**Theorem: (Church-Rosser)** If  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_1$  and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_2$ , then there is a term  $P$  such that  $N_1 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$  and  $N_2 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$ .

# Confluence

**Theorem: (Church-Rosser)** If  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_1$  and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_2$ , then there is a term  $P$  such that  $N_1 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$  and  $N_2 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$ .

**Corollary:** Every term  $M$  has at most one  $\beta$ -nf.

# Confluence

**Theorem: (Church-Rosser)** If  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_1$  and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_2$ , then there is a term  $P$  such that  $N_1 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$  and  $N_2 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$ .

**Corollary:** Every term  $M$  has at most one  $\beta$ -nf.

**Normal order reduction:** Deterministic strategy which chooses the leftmost, outermost redex, until there are no more redexes.

# Confluence

**Theorem: (Church-Rosser)** If  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_1$  and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_2$ , then there is a term  $P$  such that  $N_1 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$  and  $N_2 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$ .

**Corollary:** Every term  $M$  has at most one  $\beta$ -nf.

**Normal order reduction:** Deterministic strategy which chooses the leftmost, outermost redex, until there are no more redexes.

**Theorem:** A term  $M$  has a  $\beta$ -nf  $N$  iff the normal order reduction of  $M$  is finite and ends at  $N$  (this is an undecidable problem!).

# Confluence

**Theorem: (Church-Rosser)** If  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_1$  and  $M \rightarrow_{\beta} N_2$ , then there is a term  $P$  such that  $N_1 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$  and  $N_2 \rightarrow_{\beta} P$ .

**Corollary:** Every term  $M$  has at most one  $\beta$ -nf.

**Normal order reduction:** Deterministic strategy which chooses the leftmost, outermost redex, until there are no more redexes.

**Theorem:** A term  $M$  has a  $\beta$ -nf  $N$  iff the normal order reduction of  $M$  is finite and ends at  $N$  (this is an undecidable problem!).

**Structure of  $\beta$ -nfs:** Every  $\beta$ -normal form  $M$  is of the form

$$\lambda x_1 \dots x_n. y N_1 \dots N_m$$

with  $n, m \geq 0$  and such that  $N_1, \dots, N_m$  are terms in  $\beta$ -normal form.

# $\eta$ -reduction

## $\eta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $\lambda x.Mx$ , such that  $x \notin FV(M)$ , is called an  $\eta$ -redex;



## $\eta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $\lambda x.Mx$ , such that  $x \notin FV(M)$ , is called an  $\eta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M$ ;

## $\eta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $\lambda x.Mx$ , such that  $x \notin FV(M)$ , is called an  $\eta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M$ ;
- $\rightarrow_{1\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\eta}$ ;

## $\eta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $\lambda x.Mx$ , such that  $x \notin FV(M)$ , is called an  $\eta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M$ ;
- $\rightarrow_{1\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\eta}$ ;
- all  $\eta$ -reductions are finite;

## $\eta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $\lambda x.Mx$ , such that  $x \notin FV(M)$ , is called an  $\eta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M$ ;
- $\rightarrow_{1\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\eta}$ ;
- all  $\eta$ -reductions are finite;
- Church-Rosser;

## $\eta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $\lambda x.Mx$ , such that  $x \notin FV(M)$ , is called an  $\eta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M$ ;
- $\rightarrow_{1\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\eta}$ ;
- all  $\eta$ -reductions are finite;
- Church-Rosser;
- every term has exactly one  $\eta$ -nf;

## $\eta$ -reduction

- a term of the form  $\lambda x.Mx$ , such that  $x \notin FV(M)$ , is called an  $\eta$ -redex;
- its *contractum* is the term  $M$ ;
- $\rightarrow_{1\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\eta}$ ;
- all  $\eta$ -reductions are finite;
- Church-Rosser;
- every term has exactly one  $\eta$ -nf;
- the  $\eta$ -family of a term  $M$  is the (finite) set of all terms  $N$  such that  $M \rightarrow_{\eta} N$ .

# $\beta\eta$ -reduction

# $\beta\eta$ -reduction

- a  $\beta\eta$ -redex is any  $\beta$ - or  $\eta$ -redex;



# $\beta\eta$ -reduction

- a  $\beta\eta$ -redex is any  $\beta$ - or  $\eta$ -redex;
- $\rightarrow_{1\beta\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\beta\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\beta\eta}$ ;

# $\beta\eta$ -reduction

- a  $\beta\eta$ -redex is any  $\beta$ - or  $\eta$ -redex;
- $\rightarrow_{1\beta\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\beta\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\beta\eta}$ ;
- Church-Rosser;

# $\beta\eta$ -reduction

- a  $\beta\eta$ -redex is any  $\beta$ - or  $\eta$ -redex;
- $\rightarrow_{1\beta\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\beta\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\beta\eta}$ ;
- Church-Rosser;
- every term has at most one  $\beta\eta$ -nf;

# $\beta\eta$ -reduction

- a  $\beta\eta$ -redex is any  $\beta$ - or  $\eta$ -redex;
- $\rightarrow_{1\beta\eta}$ ,  $\rightarrow_{\beta\eta}$  and  $\equiv_{\beta\eta}$ ;
- Church-Rosser;
- every term has at most one  $\beta\eta$ -nf;
- if  $M$  is a  $\beta$ -nf, then all members of its  $\eta$ -family are  $\beta$ -nfs and exactly one of them is a  $\beta\eta$ -nf.

# $\lambda$ -definability

# $\lambda$ -definability

Notation:  $F^n X = \underbrace{F(F(\dots(F X)\dots))}_n$

- **Church numerals:**  $c_n = \lambda f x. f^n x$ , for  $n \geq 0$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability

Notation:  $F^n X = \underbrace{F(F(\dots(F X)\dots))}_n$

- **Church numerals:**  $c_n = \lambda f x. f^n x$ , for  $n \geq 0$ ;
- $A_+ = \lambda m n f x. m f (n f x)$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability

Notation:  $F^n X = \underbrace{F(F(\dots(F X)\dots))}_n$

- **Church numerals:**  $c_n = \lambda f x. f^n x$ , for  $n \geq 0$ ;
- $A_+ = \lambda m n f x. m f (n f x)$ ;

(show that  $A_+ c_n c_m \equiv c_{n+m}$ )



# $\lambda$ -definability

Notation:  $F^n X = \underbrace{F(F(\dots(F X)\dots))}_n$

- **Church numerals:**  $c_n = \lambda f x. f^n x$ , for  $n \geq 0$ ;
- $A_+ = \lambda m n f x. m f (n f x)$ ;

(show that  $A_+ c_n c_m \equiv c_{n+m}$ )

- $A_* = \lambda m n f x. m (n f) x$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability

Notation:  $F^n X = \underbrace{F(F(\dots(F X)\dots))}_n$

- **Church numerals:**  $c_n = \lambda fx.f^n x$ , for  $n \geq 0$ ;
- $A_+ = \lambda mnfx.mf(nfx)$ ;

(show that  $A_+ c_n c_m \equiv c_{n+m}$ )

- $A_* = \lambda mnfx.m(nf)x$ ;

(show that  $A_* c_n c_m \equiv c_{n*m}$ )

# $\lambda$ -definability

Notation:  $F^n X = \underbrace{F(F(\dots(F X)\dots))}_n$

- **Church numerals:**  $c_n = \lambda f x. f^n x$ , for  $n \geq 0$ ;
- $A_+ = \lambda m n f x. m f (n f x)$ ;

(show that  $A_+ c_n c_m \equiv c_{n+m}$ )

- $A_* = \lambda m n f x. m (n f) x$ ;

(show that  $A_* c_n c_m \equiv c_{n*m}$ )

- $A_{exp} = \lambda m n f x. n m f x$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability

Notation:  $F^n X = \underbrace{F(F(\dots(F X)\dots))}_n$

- **Church numerals:**  $c_n = \lambda fx.f^n x$ , for  $n \geq 0$ ;

- $A_+ = \lambda mnfx.mf(nfx)$ ;

(show that  $A_+ c_n c_m \equiv c_{n+m}$ )

- $A_* = \lambda mnfx.m(nf)x$ ;

(show that  $A_* c_n c_m \equiv c_{n*m}$ )

- $A_{exp} = \lambda mnfx.nmfx$ ;

(show that  $A_{exp} c_n c_m \equiv c_{n^m}$ )

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- `true` =  $\lambda xy.x$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- `true` =  $\lambda xy.x$ ;
- `false` =  $\lambda xy.y$ ;



# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- `true` =  $\lambda xy.x$ ;
- `false` =  $\lambda xy.y$ ;
- `if` =  $\lambda bxy.bxy$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- `true` =  $\lambda xy.x$ ;
- `false` =  $\lambda xy.y$ ;
- `if` =  $\lambda bxy.bxy$ ;

(show that `if true M N`  $\equiv$  `M` and `if false M N`  $\equiv$  `N`)

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- `true` =  $\lambda xy.x$ ;
- `false` =  $\lambda xy.y$ ;
- `if` =  $\lambda bxy.bxy$ ;

(show that `if true M N`  $\equiv$  `M` and `if false M N`  $\equiv$  `N`)

## Ordered pairs

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- `true` =  $\lambda xy.x$ ;
- `false` =  $\lambda xy.y$ ;
- `if` =  $\lambda bxy.bxy$ ;

(show that `if true M N`  $\equiv$  `M` and `if false M N`  $\equiv$  `N`)

## Ordered pairs

- `pair` =  $\lambda xyf.fxy$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- $\text{true} = \lambda xy.x$ ;
- $\text{false} = \lambda xy.y$ ;
- $\text{if} = \lambda bxy.bxy$ ;

(show that  $\text{if true } M N \equiv M$  and  $\text{if false } M N \equiv N$ )

## Ordered pairs

- $\text{pair} = \lambda xyf.fxy$ ;
- $\text{fst} = \lambda p.p \text{ true}$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- `true` =  $\lambda xy.x$ ;
- `false` =  $\lambda xy.y$ ;
- `if` =  $\lambda bxy.bxy$ ;

(show that `if true M N`  $\equiv$  `M` and `if false M N`  $\equiv$  `N`)

## Ordered pairs

- `pair` =  $\lambda xyf.fxy$ ;
- `fst` =  $\lambda p.p$  `true`;
- `snd` =  $\lambda p.p$  `false`;

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Booleans

- $\text{true} = \lambda xy.x;$
- $\text{false} = \lambda xy.y;$
- $\text{if} = \lambda bxy.bxy;$

(show that  $\text{if true } M N \equiv M$  and  $\text{if false } M N \equiv N$ )

## Ordered pairs

- $\text{pair} = \lambda xyf.fxy;$
- $\text{fst} = \lambda p.p \text{ true};$
- $\text{snd} = \lambda p.p \text{ false};$

(show that  $\text{fst}(\text{pair } M N) \equiv M$  and ...)

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)



## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- `iszero =  $\lambda n.n(\lambda x.false)true$ ;`

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- `iszero =  $\lambda n.n(\lambda x.false)true$ ;`
- `suc =  $\lambda nfx.f(nfx)$ ;`

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$
- $\text{pre} = \lambda nfx.\text{snd}(n(\text{prefn } f)(\text{pair } xx));$

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$
- $\text{pre} = \lambda nfx.\text{snd}(n(\text{prefn } f)(\text{pair } xx));$
- $\text{sub} = \lambda mn.n \text{pre } m;$

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$
- $\text{pre} = \lambda nfx.\text{snd}(n(\text{prefn } f)(\text{pair } xx));$
- $\text{sub} = \lambda mn.n \text{pre } m;$

## Lists

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$
- $\text{pre} = \lambda nfx.\text{snd}(n(\text{prefn } f)(\text{pair } xx));$
- $\text{sub} = \lambda mn.n \text{pre } m;$

## Lists

- $\text{nil} = \lambda z.z;$

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$
- $\text{pre} = \lambda nfx.\text{snd}(n(\text{prefn } f)(\text{pair } xx));$
- $\text{sub} = \lambda mn.n \text{pre } m;$

## Lists

- $\text{nil} = \lambda z.z;$
- $\text{cons} = \lambda xy.\text{pair } \text{false} (\text{pair } xy);$



## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$
- $\text{pre} = \lambda nfx.\text{snd}(n(\text{prefn } f)(\text{pair } xx));$
- $\text{sub} = \lambda mn.n \text{pre } m;$

## Lists

- $\text{nil} = \lambda z.z;$
- $\text{cons} = \lambda xy.\text{pair } \text{false} (\text{pair } xy);$
- $\text{null} = \text{fst};$

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$
- $\text{pre} = \lambda nfx.\text{snd}(n(\text{prefn } f)(\text{pair } xx));$
- $\text{sub} = \lambda mn.n \text{pre } m;$

## Lists

- $\text{nil} = \lambda z.z;$
- $\text{cons} = \lambda xy.\text{pair } \text{false} (\text{pair } xy);$
- $\text{null} = \text{fst};$
- $\text{hd} = \lambda z.\text{fst}(\text{snd } z);$

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

- $\text{iszero} = \lambda n.n(\lambda x.\text{false})\text{true};$
- $\text{suc} = \lambda nfx.f(nfx);$
- $\text{prefn} = \lambda fp.\text{pair}(f(\text{fst } p))(\text{fst } p);$
- $\text{pre} = \lambda nfx.\text{snd}(n(\text{prefn } f)(\text{pair } xx));$
- $\text{sub} = \lambda mn.n \text{pre } m;$

## Lists

- $\text{nil} = \lambda z.z;$
- $\text{cons} = \lambda xy.\text{pair } \text{false} (\text{pair } xy);$
- $\text{null} = \text{fst};$
- $\text{hd} = \lambda z.\text{fst}(\text{snd } z);$
- $\text{tl} = \lambda z.\text{snd}(\text{snd } z).$

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

### Recursive Functions

- $\mathbf{Y}$  is a fixed point operator iff  $\mathbf{Y}F \equiv F(\mathbf{Y}F)$  for all terms  $F$ ;

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Recursive Functions

- $\mathbf{Y}$  is a fixed point operator iff  $\mathbf{Y}F \equiv F(\mathbf{Y}F)$  for all terms  $F$ ;
- show that  $\mathbf{Y} = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx))$  is a fixed point operator (there are many others!);

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Recursive Functions

- $\mathbf{Y}$  is a fixed point operator iff  $\mathbf{Y}F \equiv F(\mathbf{Y}F)$  for all terms  $F$ ;
- show that  $\mathbf{Y} = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx))$  is a fixed point operator (there are many others!);
- show that  $Mx_1 \dots x_n \equiv PM$  is satisfied by defining  $M = \mathbf{Y}(\lambda g x_1 \dots x_n.Pg)$ , whenever  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a fixed point operator;

# $\lambda$ -definability (cont.)

## Recursive Functions

- $\mathbf{Y}$  is a fixed point operator iff  $\mathbf{Y}F \equiv F(\mathbf{Y}F)$  for all terms  $F$ ;
- show that  $\mathbf{Y} = \lambda f.(\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx))$  is a fixed point operator (there are many others!);
- show that  $M_{x_1 \dots x_n} \equiv PM$  is satisfied by defining  $M = \mathbf{Y}(\lambda g x_1 \dots x_n.Pg)$ , whenever  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a fixed point operator;
- define the functions `fact` and `tail`.



# Restricted classes of $\lambda$ -terms

## Restricted classes of $\lambda$ -terms

- $M$  is a  $\lambda I$ -term iff for every subterm of the form  $\lambda x.N$  of  $M$ ,  $x$  occurs *at least once* free in  $N$ ;

## Restricted classes of $\lambda$ -terms

- $M$  is a  *$\lambda I$ -term* iff for every subterm of the form  $\lambda x.N$  of  $M$ ,  $x$  occurs *at least once* free in  $N$ ;
- $M$  is a *BCK-term* iff for every subterm of the form  $\lambda x.N$  of  $M$ ,  $x$  occurs *at most once* free in  $N$ ;

## Restricted classes of $\lambda$ -terms

- $M$  is a  *$\lambda I$ -term* iff for every subterm of the form  $\lambda x.N$  of  $M$ ,  $x$  occurs *at least once* free in  $N$ ;
- $M$  is a  *$BCK$ -term* iff for every subterm of the form  $\lambda x.N$  of  $M$ ,  $x$  occurs *at most once* free in  $N$ ;
- $M$  is a  *$BCI$ -term* iff for every subterm of the form  $\lambda x.N$  of  $M$ ,  $x$  occurs *exactly once* free in  $N$ .