

## Pure Type Systems

- Pure Type Systems (PTS) provide a general description for a large class of typed  $\lambda$ -calculi.
- PTS make it possible to derive lot of meta theoretic properties in a generic way.
- In PTS we only have one type constructor ( $\Pi$ ) and one computation rule ( $\beta$ ). (Therefore the name "pure").
- PTS were originally introduced (albeit in a different from) by S. Berardi and J. Terlouw as a generalization of Barendregt's  $\lambda$ -cube, which itself provides a fine-grained analysis of the Calculus of Constructions.

21

## Syntax

PTS have a single category of expressions, which are called **pseudo-terms**.

The definitions of pseudo-terms is parameterized by a set  $\mathcal{V}$  of **variables** and a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of **sorts** (constants that denote the universes of the type system).

### Definition

The set  $\mathcal{T}$  of **pseudo-terms** are defined by the abstract syntax

$$\mathcal{T} ::= \mathcal{S} \mid \mathcal{V} \mid \mathcal{T}\mathcal{T} \mid \lambda \mathcal{V}:\mathcal{T}.\mathcal{T} \mid \Pi \mathcal{V}:\mathcal{T}.\mathcal{T}$$

Both  $\Pi$  and  $\lambda$  bind variables.

We have the usual notation for **free variables** and **bound variables**.

23

## Pure Type Systems

PTS are formal systems for deriving judgments of the form

$$\Gamma \vdash M : A$$

context                      pseudo-terms  
list of variable declarations

$M$  is of type  $A$  relative to a typing of the free variables of  $M$  and  $A$  (which are declared in  $\Gamma$ )

22

## Definitions

Pseudo-terms inherit much of the standard definitions and notations of  $\lambda$ -calculi.

- $FV(M)$  denotes the set of free variables of the pseudo-term  $M$ .
- We write  $A \rightarrow B$  instead of  $\Pi x : A. B$  whenever  $x \notin FV(B)$ .
- $M[x := N]$  denotes the substitution of  $N$  for all the free occurrences of  $x$  in  $M$ .
- We identify pseudo-terms that are equal up to a renaming of bound variables ( **$\alpha$ -conversion**).
- We assume the standard variable convention, so all bound variables are chosen to be different from free variables.

24

## Definitions

- **$\beta$ -reduction** is defined as the compatible closure of the rule

$$(\lambda x:A.M) N \rightarrow_{\beta} M[x := N]$$

$\rightarrow_{\beta}$  is the reflexive-transitive closure of  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$

$\equiv_{\beta}$  is the reflexive-symmetric-transitive closure of  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$

- Application associates to the left, abstraction to the right and application binds more tightly than abstraction.
- We let  $x, y, z, \dots$  range over  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $s, s', \dots$  range over  $S$

25

## Dependent types

In the type theory one can define for every set  $A$  and  $A$ -indexed family of sets  $(B_a)_{a \in A}$  a new set  $\prod_{a \in A} B_a$  called **dependent function space**.

Elements of  $\prod_{a \in A} B_a$  are functions with domain  $A$  and such that  $f(a) \in B_a$  for every  $a \in A$ .

$\Pi$ -construction of PTS works in the same way:

$\Pi x:A. B(x)$  is the type of terms  $F$  such that, for every  $a : A$ ,  $F a : B(a)$

27

## Salient Features of PTS

- PTS describe  **$\lambda$ -calculi à la Church** ( $\lambda$ -abstractions carry the domain of bound variables).
- PTS are **minimal** (just  $\Pi$  type construction and  $\beta$  reduction rule), which imposes strict limitations on their applicability.
- PTS model **dependent types**. Type constructor  $\Pi$  captures in the type theory the set-theoretic notion of generic or **dependent function space**.

26

## Specifications

The typing system of PTS is parameterized by a triple  $(S, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R})$  where

$S$  is the set of universes of the type system;

$\mathcal{A}$  determine the typing relation between universes;

$\mathcal{R}$  determine which dependent function types may be found and where they live.

### Definition

A PTS-**specification** is a triple  $(S, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R})$  where

- $S$  is a set of **sorts**
- $\mathcal{A} \subseteq S \times S$  is a set of **axioms**
- $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S \times S \times S$  is a set of **rules**

We use  $(s1, s2)$  to denote rules of the form  $(s1, s2, s2)$ .

Every specification  $S$  induces a PTS  $\lambda S$ .

28

## Contexts and Judgments

- The set  $\mathcal{G}$  of **contexts** is given by the abstract syntax  $\mathcal{G} ::= \langle \rangle \mid \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V} : \mathcal{T}$ 
  - We let  $\subseteq$  denote context inclusion
  - The **domain** of a context is defined by the clause
 
$$\text{dom}(x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$$
  - We let  $\Gamma, \Delta$  range over  $\mathcal{G}$
- A **judgment** is a triple of the form  $\Gamma \vdash A : B$  where  $A, B \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ .
- A judgment is **derivable** if it can be inferred from the typing rules of the next slide.
  - If  $\Gamma \vdash A : B$  then  $\Gamma, A$  and  $B$  are **legal**.
  - If  $\Gamma \vdash A : s$  for  $s \in S$ , we say that  $A$  is a **type**.

29

## Typing rules for PTS

$$\text{(axiom)} \quad \langle \rangle \vdash s_1 : s_2 \quad \text{if } (s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{A}$$

It embeds the relation  $\mathcal{A}$  into the type system.

31

## Typing rules for PTS

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(axiom)} & \langle \rangle \vdash s_1 : s_2 & \text{if } (s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{A} \\ \text{(start)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma, x:A \vdash x : A} & \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \\ \text{(weakening)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B \quad \Gamma \vdash C : s}{\Gamma, x:C \vdash A : B} & \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \\ \text{(product)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s_1 \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B : s_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B) : s_3} & \text{if } (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathcal{R} \\ \text{(application)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash F : (\Pi x:A. B) \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash F a : B[x := a]} & \\ \text{(abstraction)} & \frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash b : B \quad \Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B) : s}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. b : (\Pi x:A. B)} & \\ \text{(conversion)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B \quad \Gamma \vdash B' : s}{\Gamma \vdash A : B'} & \text{if } B =_{\beta} B' \end{array}$$

30

## Typing rules for PTS

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(start)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s}{\Gamma, x:A \vdash x : A} & \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \\ \text{(weakening)} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B \quad \Gamma \vdash C : s}{\Gamma, x:C \vdash A : B} & \text{if } x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma) \end{array}$$

It allows the introduction of variables in a context.

32

## Typing rules for PTS

$$\text{(product)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : s_1 \quad \Gamma, x:A \vdash B : s_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B) : s_3} \quad \text{if } (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathcal{R}$$

It allows for dependent function types to be formed, provided they match the rule in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

33

## Typing rules for PTS

$$\text{(abstraction)} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x:A \vdash b : B \quad \Gamma \vdash (\Pi x:A. B) : s}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:A. b : (\Pi x:A. B)}$$

It allows to build  $\lambda$ -abstractions.

Note that the side condition requires that the dependent function type is well formed.

35

## Typing rules for PTS

$$\text{(application)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash F : (\Pi x:A. B) \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash Fa : B[x := a]}$$

It allows to form applications.

Note substitution  $[x := a]$  in the type of the application, in order to accommodate type dependencies.

34

## Typing rules for PTS

$$\text{(conversion)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A : B \quad \Gamma \vdash B' : s}{\Gamma \vdash A : B'} \quad \text{if } B =_{\beta} B'$$

It ensures that convertible types (i.e. types that are  $\beta$ -equal) have the same inhabitants.

This rule is crucial for higher-order type theories, because types are  $\lambda$ -terms and can be reduced, and for dependent type theories because they may occur in types.

36

## Examples of PTS

Non-dependent type systems (i.e. an expression  $M : A$  with  $A : *$  cannot appear as a subexpression of  $B : *$ )

$\lambda \rightarrow$ , the simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus.

$\lambda \rightarrow$	$S = *, \square$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *)$

$\lambda 2$  is the PTS counterpart of Girard's System F.

$\lambda 2$	$S = *, \square$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *), (\square, *)$

$\lambda \omega$  is the PTS counterpart of Girard's System F $\omega$ .

$\lambda \omega$	$S = *, \square$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *), (\square, *), (\square, \square)$

In logical terms, these non-dependent systems correspond to [propositional logics](#).

37

## Examples of dependent PTS

It is possible to type expressions  $B : *$  which contain as subexpression  $M : A : *$ .

$\lambda P$  is the PTS counterpart of the Logical Frameworks due to Harper et al.

$\lambda P$	$S = *, \square$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *), (*, \square)$

$\lambda P2$  is the PTS counterpart of Longo and Moggi's system also named  $\lambda P2$ .

$\lambda P2$	$S = *, \square$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *), (\square, *), (*, \square)$

$\lambda C$  (also known as  $\lambda P\omega$ ) is the PTS counterpart of Coquand and Huet's Calculus of Constructions.

$\lambda C$	$S = *, \square$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *), (\square, *), (*, \square), (\square, \square)$

In logical terms, these dependent systems correspond to [predicate logics](#).

39

## More examples of non-dependent PTS

$\lambda U^-$ , Girard's System U $^-$

$\lambda U^-$	$S = *, \square, \triangle$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square), (\square : \triangle)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *), (\square, *), (\square, \square), (\triangle, \square)$

$\lambda U$ , System U

$\lambda U$	$S = *, \square, \triangle$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square), (\square : \triangle)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *), (\square, *), (\square, \square), (\triangle, *), (\triangle, \square)$

The System  $\lambda^*$

$\lambda^*$	$S = *$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : *)$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *)$

$\lambda U^-$ ,  $\lambda U$  and  $\lambda^*$  are **inconsistent** in the sense that there exists a pseudo-term  $M$  such that the judgment  $A : * \vdash M : A$  is derivable.

38

## Another example of dependent PTS

$\lambda C\omega$  is an extension of the Calculus of Constructions.

$\lambda C\omega$	$S = *, \square_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$
	$\mathcal{A} = (* : \square_0), (\square_i : \square_{i+1}), i \in \mathbb{N}$
	$\mathcal{R} = (*, *), (\square_i, *), (*, \square_i), (\square_i, \square_j, \square_{\max(i,j)}), i, j \in \mathbb{N}$

40