Alcino Cunha

#### **SPECIFICATION AND MODELING**

**RELATIONAL MODEL FINDING** 

Universidade do Minho & INESC TEC

2019/20

# ARCHITECTURE



KODKOD

## KODKOD

- Kodkod is a relational model finder
- A Kodkod problem consists of
  - $\blacktriangleright$  a universe declaration: a set of atoms  ${\cal U}$
  - relation declarations: for each relation r an arity ar(r) and lower and upper bounds  $(r_L and r_U)$
  - $\blacktriangleright$  a relational logic formula  $\phi$
- Kodkod finds values (a *model*) for the relations satisfying the given bounds and  $\phi$

## FROM ALLOY TO KODKOD AND BACK

- Facts are added to the problem formula
- Formulas to be checked are negated and added to the problem formula
  - The formula is valid if its negation is unsatisfiable
- The main issue is how to infer (tight) bounds from scopes
  - Only atomic signatures and fields are declared
    - Non atomic signatures are aliased to disjunction of atomic ones
  - Upper bounds can be shared between disjoint atomic signatures
    - Further constraints must be added to ensure structural semantics
    - Atom names become meaningless
    - When building Alloy instance from Kodkod instance atoms must be renamed

#### ALLOY EXAMPLE

```
abstract sig HTTPEvent {}
sig Request extends HTTPEvent {
    response : lone Response
}
sig Response extends HTTPEvent {}
sig Redirect extends Response {}
run {response.Redirect in HTTPEvent} for 3 but exactly 1 Redirect
```

#### **KODKOD TRANSLATION**

## {A,B,C}

```
Request :1 {} {(A),(B)}
$Response :1 {} {(A),(B)}
Redirect :1 {(C)} {(C)}
response :2 {} {(A,A),(A,B),(A,C),(B,A),(B,B),(B,C)}
```

```
no Request & ($Response+Redirect)
all x : Request | lone x.response and x.response in ($Response+Redirect)
response.univ in Request
response.Redirect in (Request+$Response+Redirect)
```

SAT

## **BOOLEAN SATISFIABILITY**

- The boolean satisfiability problem (SAT) is the problem of determining if a propositional logic formula has a (valid) model
- SAT was the first problem to be proven NP-complete
- But modern SAT solvers can handle formulas with tens of thousands of variables efficiently

# FROM KODKOD TO SAT AND BACK

• A relation r of arity k = ar(r) can be represented by a k-dimensional matrix  $|\mathcal{U}|^k$  of propositional variables

$$r[i_1, \ldots, i_k] = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } \langle \mathcal{U}_{i_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_{i_k} \rangle \in r_L \\ x_{i_1, \ldots, i_k} & \text{if } \langle \mathcal{U}_{i_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_{i_k} \rangle \in r_U \setminus r_L \\ \bot & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Relational operators implemented by matrix operations and boolean connectives
  - Composition is the product
  - Union is the sum
  - Intersection is Hadamard product
  - Closure iterates  $|\mathcal{U}|$  products
  - Inclusion is implication

► ..

#### **KODKOD EXAMPLE**

{A,B,C}

```
Request :1 {} {(A),(B)}
$Response :1 {} {(A),(B)}
Redirect :1 {(C)} {(C)}
response :2 {} {(A,A),(A,B),(A,C),(B,A),(B,B),(B,C)}
```

```
no Request & ($Response+Redirect)
all x : Request | lone x.response and x.response in ($Response+Redirect)
response.univ in Request
response.Redirect in (Request+$Response+Redirect)
```

#### SAT TRANSLATION

response.Redirect in (Request+\$Response+Redirect)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{A,A} \ \mathbf{r}_{A,B} \ \mathbf{r}_{A,C} \\ \mathbf{r}_{B,A} \ \mathbf{r}_{B,B} \ \mathbf{r}_{B,C} \\ \bot \ \bot \ \bot \ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \bot \\ \bot \\ \top \end{bmatrix} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_A \\ \mathbf{x}_B \\ \bot \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_A \\ \mathbf{y}_B \\ \bot \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bot \\ \bot \\ \top \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{r}_{A,A} \land \bot \lor \mathbf{r}_{A,B} \land \bot \lor \mathbf{r}_{A,C} \land \top \\ \mathbf{r}_{B,A} \land \bot \lor \mathbf{r}_{B,B} \land \bot \lor \mathbf{r}_{B,C} \land \top \\ \bot \land \bot \lor \bot \land \bot \lor \bot \land \bot \lor \bot \land \top \end{bmatrix} \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_A \lor \mathbf{y}_A \lor \bot \\ \mathbf{x}_B \lor \mathbf{y}_B \lor \bot \\ \bot \lor \bot \lor \top \end{bmatrix}$$
$$(\mathbf{r}_{A,C} \to \mathbf{x}_A \lor \mathbf{y}_A) \land (\mathbf{r}_{B,C} \to \mathbf{x}_B \lor \mathbf{y}_B) \land (\bot \to \top)$$

=

#### **QUANTIFIERS**

• Since the universe is finite, quantifiers can be handled by expansion

```
all x : Request | lone x.response
```

```
lone {(A)}.response and lone {(B)}.response
```

• Unfortunately, this technique yields no witnesses to existential quantifiers

```
some x : Request | some x.response

=
some {(A)}.response or some {(B)}.response
```

# SKOLEMIZATION

- *Skolemization* is a technique that replaces existentially quantified variables by new free variables.
  - Free variables are implicitly existentially quantified
  - Generates smaller but equisatisfiable formulas

some x : Request | some x.response

#### $\cong$

```
$x :1 {} {(A),(B)}
one $x and some $x.response
```

- Skolemized variables are witnesses of the quantifier and are very useful in visualisation
- Skolemization can also be applied to higher-order existential quantifications

#### SYMMETRY BREAKING

- Kodkod performs several optimisations to decrease SAT complexity
- The most significant is symmetry breaking
  - Since atoms are uninterpreted (almost) any permutation of an instance is also a valid instance
  - A symmetry-breaking formula is conjoined to the problem formula
  - It tries to capture most symmetries but for efficiency reasons the technique is not complete
- Besides improving efficiency, symmetry breaking is also great for validation
  - Without it the user would be overwhelmed with isomorphic instances