

Software components in Haskell via monadic Mealy machines (I)

J.N. Oliveira & L.S. Barbosa

MFES - 2012-13

Abstract: Draft of a component-ware library in Haskell based on the *components as coalgebras* approach proposed in [1, 2].

1 Latex

In vim add commands

```
map <C-G> :w!<CR>:!ghci -XNPlusKPatterns -XMultiParamTypeClasses
-XFlexibleInstances -XFlexibleContexts
map <C-U> :w!<CR>:!lhs2TeX -o mmm08.tex mmm08.lhs; latex
mmm08<CR>i
```

At any time: type <C-U> to redo DVI. At any time: type <C-G> to run Haskell.

2 Imports

The following modules are imported:

- Basic library of pointfree combinators:

```
import Cp
```

- State monad library:

```
import St
```

- State monad transformer library:

```
import SMT
```

- Maybe monad transformer library (for partial behaviour):

```
import MMT
```

- Distribution and list monads:

```
import Probability
import Data.List
import Qais
```

Auxiliary functions can be found in the appendix.

3 Main construction steps based on Mealy machines as coalgebras

Mealy machines (monadic and deterministic) of type $a \rightarrow b$ are monadic functions of type $(Monad\ m) \Rightarrow (s, a) \rightarrow m\ (s, b)$, where s is the state of the machine. Data type

```
data Mealy m s a b = Mealy { mealy :: (s, a) → m (s, b) }
```

could be introduced later as the basis for the library:

1. Lift function to machine Functions become machines once paralleled with identity on states:

```
f2m :: (Monad m) ⇒ (a → b) → (s, a) → m (s, b)
f2m f = η · (id × f)
```

2. Copy This is the "do nothing" machine:

```
copy :: (Monad m) ⇒ (s, c) → m (s, c)
-- identity
copy = f2m id
```

3. Sequential composition of machines Pipeline of two machines:

```
seqc :: (Strong F, Monad F) ⇒
-- input machines
((s, i) → F (s, o)) →
((r, o) → F (r, k)) →
-- output machine
((s, r), i) → F ((s, r), k)
seqc p q = ((F a°) · τl · (id × q) · xl) • τr · (p × id) · xr
```

In the algebra original description in [2] this is written as

$$seqc\ p\ q = \mu \cdot (F\ (F\ a^\circ)) \cdot (F\ \tau_l) \cdot (F\ (id \times q)) \cdot (F\ xl) \cdot \tau_r \cdot (p \times id) \cdot xr.$$

The version above is shorter thanks to Kleisli composition (precedence according to $(f \bullet g) \cdot h = f \bullet (g \cdot h)$).

```
4. Parallel composition of machines times :: (Monad F, Strong F) ⇒
-- input machines
((s, i) → F (s, o)) → ((t, j) → F (t, r)) →
-- output machine
((s, t), (i, j)) → F ((s, t), (o, r))
times p q = (F m) · deltal · (p × q) · m
where m ((p, q), (i, j)) = ((p, i), (q, j))
```

Laws in the algebra can also be run, e.g.

$$lemma36\ f\ g = [times\ (f2m\ f)\ (f2m\ g), f2m\ (f \times g)]$$

etc

5. External choice of machines $\cdot \boxplus \cdot :: (\text{Strong } F) \Rightarrow$

```
-- input machines
((s, i) → F (s, o)) → ((t, j) → F (t, r)) →
-- output machine
((s, t), i + j) → F ((s, t), o + r)
p  $\boxplus$  q = [(F (id × i1)) , (F (id × i2))] ·
(F xr + (F a°)) · (τr · (p × id) + τl · (id × q)) ·
(xr + a) · dr
```

6. Feedback This runs a machine twice:

```
feedb :: (Monad F) ⇒ (a → F a) → a → F a
feedb p = p • p
```

This works for every endo-machine of type $a \rightarrow a$.

7. Partial feedback Operator precedence in the following is according to law $(f \bullet g) \cdot h = f \bullet (g \cdot h)$:

```
pfeedb :: (Functor F, Monad F) ⇒
-- input machine
((s, i + z) → F (s, o + z)) →
-- output machine
(s, i + z) → F (s, o + z)
pfeedb p = (∇ · (η + p) · (id × i1 + id × i2) · dr) • p
```

8. Interface wrapping $\text{wrap} :: (\text{Functor } F) \Rightarrow ((b, e) \rightarrow F (a, c)) \rightarrow (i \rightarrow e) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow d) \rightarrow (b, i) \rightarrow F (a, d)$ $\text{wrap } p \text{ } f \text{ } g = \text{fmap } (\text{id} \times g) \cdot p \cdot (\text{id} \times f)$

Now summing up Mealy machines as in [3]; the main difference is the fact that in the paper the (relational) sum happens before transposition (introduction of the powerset or maybe monad):

1. Binary sum:

```
sum2 :: (Functor F) ⇒ ((s, a) → F (r, b)) → ((s, i) → F (r, c)) → (s, a + i) → F (r, b + c)
sum2 m1 m2 = (fmap undr) · codelta · (m1 + m2) · dr
```

2. Ternary sum:

```
sum3 :: (Functor F) ⇒
((s, e) → F (a, b)) → ((s, b1) → F (a, c)) → ((s, b2) → F (a, d)) →
(s, (e + b1) + b2) → F (a, (b + c) + d)
sum3 m1 m2 m3 = after · ((m1 + m2) + m3) · ldr2
```

where

```
after :: Functor F ⇒ ((F (s, a)) + (F (s, b))) + (F (s, c)) → F (s, (a + b) + c)
after = (F lundr2) · lcodelta2
```

4 Example of stacks and folders, in several steps and layers

(a) First layer: a partial stack at functional level:

```
push = flip (:)
pop = tail
top = head
empty a = length a == 0
```

(b) Individual Mealy (Maybe) machines, one per totalised (partial) function

```
push' :: ([b], b) → M ([b], ())
push' = η · ⟨(uncurry push), (!)⟩      -- total writer
pop' :: ([a], b) → M ([a], a)
pop' = tot ⟨pop, top⟩ (¬ · empty) · p1 -- partial writer
top' :: ([a], b) → M ([a], a)
top' = tot ⟨id, top⟩ (¬ · empty) · p1  -- partial reader
empty' :: ([a], b) → M ([a], ℬ)
empty' = η · ⟨id, empty⟩ · p1        -- total reader
```

where *tot* totalizes a partial function by fusion with its precondition:

```
tot :: (a → b) → (a → ℬ) → a → M b
tot f p = Cp.cond p (Just · f) Nothing
```

(c) summing up all these (NB: leaving out *empty'*, which is not used in the folder example):

```
stack :: ([p], (()) + ()) + p → M ([p], (p + p) + ())
stack = sum3 pop' top' push'
```

(d) start building a folder by wrapping two stacks:

```
rightS :: ([b], (()) + ()) + (b + b) → M ([b], (b + b) + ())
rightS = wrap stack (id + ∇) id
leftS :: ([c], (()) + c) → M ([c], c + ())
leftS = wrap stack (i1 + id) ((id + (!)) · coassocr)
```

(e) now we put everything together...

```
almostFolder = pfeedb (wrap (leftS ⊞ rightS) wi wo)
```

where

```
wi = [[[i11, i211], i212], i222], [i221, i12]]
wo = [[i21, i111], [i22, i112], i12]]
```

That is:

```
wi = [[[i1 + i11], i212], i222], (coswap · (i21 + i2))]
wo = [(coswap · (i1 + i11)), [(coswap · (i2 + i12)), i12]]
```

(f) instead of doing the final wrapping by *fifo* as in the original calculus I've tried to introduced some linguistic stuff in, via explicit APIs. Because stack and folder are separable components both exhibit the same input and output interfaces:

```
data StackAPI a b c = Pop a | Top b | Push c deriving Show
data FolderAPI a b c d = Tr a | Tl b | Rd c | In d deriving (Eq, Show, Ord)
```

Then we may write:

```
apiFolder :: ([d], [d]), FolderAPI () () () d) → M ([d], [d]), FolderAPI () () d d1)
apiFolder = wrap almostFolder wi wo
where wi (Tr a) = i1111 a
      wi (Tl a) = i1112 a
      wi (Rd a) = i112 a
      wi (In a) = i12 a
      wo (i1 (i2 a)) = Tr a
      wo (i1 (i1 (i1 a))) = Tl a
      wo (i1 (i1 (i2 a))) = Rd a
```

and

```
apiStack :: [c], StackAPI () () c) → M ([c], StackAPI c c ())
apiStack = wrap stack wi wo
where wi (Pop ()) = i11 ()
      wi (Top ()) = i12 ()
      wi (Push a) = i2 a
      wo (i1 (i1 o)) = Pop o
      wo (i1 (i2 o)) = Top o
      wo (i2 o) = Push o
```

(g) need to prepare *apiStack* for the given *wi*, *wo* wires — (much of this should go away via fusion laws):

```
rightApiS :: ([b], (() + ())) + (b + b)) → M ([b], (b + b) + ())
rightApiS = wrap apiStack wi wo
where wi = [[Pop, Top], [Push, Push]]
      wo (Pop p) = i11 p
      wo (Top p) = i12 p
      wo (Push ()) = i2 ()

leftApiS :: ([b], () + b) → M ([b], b + ())
leftApiS = wrap apiStack [Pop, Push] wo
where wo (Pop p) = i1 p
      wo (Push ()) = i2 ()
```

(h) putting both of these together

```
almostFolderApi = pfeedb (wrap (leftApiS ⊞ rightApiS) wi wo)
```

(i) finishing up:

```
folderApi :: ([d], [d]), FolderAPI () () () d) → M ([d], [d]), FolderAPI () () d d1)
folderApi = wrap almostFolderApi wi wo
```

```

where wi (Tr a) = i1111 ()
      wi (Tl a) = i1 (i112 ())
      wi (Rd a) = i112 ()
      wi (In a) = i12 a
      wo (i1 (i2 a)) = Tr a
      wo (i1 (i1 (i1 a))) = Tl a
      wo (i1 (i1 (i2 a))) = Rd a

```

4.1 The coalgebraic twist

A “curried Mealy machine” is a coalgebra, eg.

```
folderCoalg = curry folderApi
```

over which we can already do single step testing: check behaviour of *folderCoalg* over given state

```

state = ([ "L1", "L2"], [ "R1" ])
testTr = folderCoalg state (Tr ()) -- testTr should be Just ([ "L2"], [ "L1", "R1"], Tr ())
testTl = folderCoalg state (Tl ()) -- testTl should be Just ([ "R1", "L1", "L2"], [], Tl ())
testRd = folderCoalg state (Rd ()) -- testRd should be Just ([ "L1", "L2"], [ "R1"], Rd "R1")
testIn = folderCoalg state (In "A") -- testIn should be Just ([ "L1", "L2"], [ "A", "R1"], Tr ())

```

Note the strange “Tr()” in *testIn*, due to the fact that in actual wirings, *Tr* and *In* null output are joined. Thus the “d1” in the type of *folderApi*.

4.2 Flipping Mealy coalgebras to obtain state monadic components

In the example, *folderApi*’s behaviour animation is obtained by flipping the coalgebra we obtain a monadic state transformer

```
folderApiSt = flip folderCoalg
```

Now use the library library for the state monad transformer SMT.

```
folderApiSt' i s = (fmap swap) (folderApiSt i s)
```

where *swap* is required because SMT state and output are swapped in *SMT*. Finally, we get a Maybe state monadic transformer

```

folderAcceptMachine :: FolderAPI () () () d → SMT ([d], [d]) M (FolderAPI () () d d1)
folderAcceptMachine i = SMT (folderApiSt' i)

```

“Stream” animation emulates the final coalgebra by mapping input streams in batch mode into output streams, monadically.

```

batch :: (Monad (SMT s m), Monad m, Functor m) ⇒ (b → SMT s m a) → [b] → s → m [a]
batch mst inp seed = runSMT (sequence (map mst inp)) seed -- result is output stream

stream1 = [Rd (), Tl (), Rd (), In "C"]
seed1 = ([ "A"], [ "B" ])
out1 = batch folderAcceptMachine stream1 seed1 -- out1 is Nothing: folder dies upon second Rd
stream2 = [In "A", Tl (), In "B", Tr (), Rd ()]
seed2 = ([], [])
out2 = batch folderAcceptMachine stream2 seed2 -- ok, but note the strange Tr()
-- outputs already mentioned.

```

4.3 Bringing IO in via MMT (Maybe monad transformer)

So as to have interactive machines:

```
folderApiSMT :: FolderAPI () () String →
  SMT ([String], [String]) (MMT IO) (FolderAPI () () String String)
folderApiSMT = m2smt folderApiSt'
```

```
script :: SMT ([String], [String]) (MMT IO) ()
script = do { i ← dlift (
  do { putStr "\nDo what? "; getLine }
);
  o ← folderApiSMT (recognize i);
  dlift (
    do putStr "\nInput was: " ++ (show (recognize i));
      putStr "\nOutput is: " ++ (show o) ++ "\n";
    );
  s ← iSt (get);
  dlift (writeFile "_folder.txt" (show s))
} where
  recognize s = let l = words s
    in case l of
      "In" : (s: _) → In s
      "Tr" : _ → Tr ()
      "Tr ()" : _ → Tr ()
      "Tl" : _ → Tl ()
      "Tl ()" : _ → Tl ()
      otherwise → Rd ()
```

Finally, an interactive shell:

```
main = unlift (runSMT (loop script) seed2)
```

which dies (silently) as soon as an invalid operation takes place...

A Auxiliary functions

The following functor instances,

```
D :: (a → b) → D a → D b
D = fmap
```

```
M :: (a → b) → M a → M b
M = fmap
```

and

```
F :: Functor F ⇒ (a → b) → F a → F b
F = fmap
```

as well as shortcut

```
DM = D · M
```

are intended for pretty printing and tighter type checking. We also define

$$\mu_{\mathbf{DM}} :: \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{M} (\mathbf{D} (\mathbf{M} b))) \rightarrow \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{M} b)$$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{DM}} = \mu \cdot \mathbf{D} (\mathbf{D} (\mu) \cdot cl)$$

$$rstrD :: (Strong f, Monad m) \Rightarrow (m (f a), b) \rightarrow m (f (a, b))$$

$$rstrD (d, b) = \mathbf{do} \{ m \leftarrow d; \eta (\tau_r (m, b)) \}$$

$$lstrD :: (Strong f, Monad m) \Rightarrow (b, m (f a)) \rightarrow m (f (b, a))$$

$$lstrD (a, d) = \mathbf{do} \{ m \leftarrow d; \eta (\tau_l (a, m)) \}$$

$$deltar :: (Monad m, Strong m) \Rightarrow (m a, m b) \rightarrow m (a, b)$$

$$deltar = \tau_r \bullet \tau_l$$

$$deltal :: (Monad m, Strong m) \Rightarrow (m b, m a) \rightarrow m (b, a)$$

$$deltal = \tau_l \bullet \tau_r$$

$$\nabla :: b + b \rightarrow b$$

$$\nabla = [id, id]$$

$$xr :: ((a, b), c) \rightarrow ((a, c), b)$$

$$xr ((u, u'), i) = ((u, i), u')$$

$$xl :: ((a, b), c) \rightarrow (a, (c, b))$$

$$xl ((u, u'), i) = (u, (i, u'))$$

$$\mathbf{dr} :: (a, c + b) \rightarrow (a, c) + (a, b)$$

$$\mathbf{dr} (a, i_1 b) = i_1 (a, b)$$

$$\mathbf{dr} (a, i_2 c) = i_2 (a, c)$$

$$dr2 :: (c, d + (a + b)) \rightarrow (c, d) + ((c, a) + (c, b))$$

$$dr2 = (id + \mathbf{dr}) \cdot \mathbf{dr}$$

$$dr3 = (id + dr2) \cdot \mathbf{dr}$$

$$ldr2 = (\mathbf{dr} + id) \cdot \mathbf{dr}$$

$$undr :: (a, b) + (a, c) \rightarrow (a, b + c)$$

$$undr = [(id \times i1), (id \times i2)]$$

$$undr2 :: (a, b) + ((a, b1) + (a, c)) \rightarrow (a, b + (b1 + c))$$

$$undr2 = undr \cdot (id + undr)$$

$$undr3 = undr \cdot (id + undr2)$$

$$lundr2 = undr \cdot (undr + id)$$

$$codelta :: (Functor m) \Rightarrow (m a) + (m b) \rightarrow m (a + b)$$

$$codelta = [(fmap i1), (fmap i2)]$$

$$merge :: Functor f \Rightarrow (a \rightarrow f a1) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow f b1) \rightarrow a + b \rightarrow f (a1 + b1)$$

$$merge f g = [(fmap i1) \cdot f], [(fmap i2) \cdot g]$$

$$codelta2 :: (Functor m) \Rightarrow (m a) + ((m c) + (m b)) \rightarrow m (a + (c + b))$$

$$codelta2 = codelta \cdot (id + codelta)$$

$$codelta3 :: (Functor m) \Rightarrow (m a) + ((m a1) + ((m c) + (m b))) \rightarrow m (a + (a1 + (c + b)))$$

$$codelta3 = codelta \cdot (id + codelta2)$$

$$lcodelta2 :: (Functor m) \Rightarrow ((m a) + (m c)) + (m b) \rightarrow m ((a + c) + b)$$

$$lcodelta2 = codelta \cdot (codelta + id)$$

$$i11 = i1 \cdot i1$$

$$i111 = i1 \cdot i1 \cdot i1$$

$$i1111 = i1 \cdot i1 \cdot i1 \cdot i1$$

$$i1112 = i1 \cdot i1 \cdot i1 \cdot i2$$

$$i112 = i1 \cdot i1 \cdot i2$$

$$i12 = i1 \cdot i2$$

$$i121 = i1 \cdot i2 \cdot i1$$

$$i122 = i1 \cdot i2 \cdot i2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i21 &= i2 \cdot i1 \\
i211 &= i2 \cdot i1 \cdot i1 \\
i212 &= i2 \cdot i1 \cdot i2 \\
i22 &= i2 \cdot i2 \\
i221 &= i2 \cdot i2 \cdot i1 \\
i222 &= i2 \cdot i2 \cdot i2
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] L.S. Barbosa. *Towards a calculus of state-based software components*. *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, 9 (8):891–909, 2003.
- [2] L.S. Barbosa and J.N. Oliveira. *State-based components made generic*. *Elect. Notes in Theor. Comp. Sci. (CMCS’03 - Workshop on Coalgebraic Methods in Computer Science)*, 82.1, 2003.
- [3] L.S. Barbosa and J.N. Oliveira. *Transposing partial components — an exercise on coalgebraic refinement*. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 365(1):2–22, 2006.
- [4] J.N. Oliveira. *Towards a linear algebra of programming*. *Formal Asp. Comput.*, 24(4-6):433–458, 2012.