

# Software architecture for reactive systems (introduction)

Luís S. Barbosa

HASLab - INESC TEC  
Universidade do Minho  
Braga, Portugal

November, 2011

# What is software architecture?

[Garlan & Shaw, 1993]

the systematic study of the overall structure of software systems

[Perry & Wolf, 1992]

SA = { Elements (*what*), Form (*how*), Rationale (*why*) }

[Kruchten, 1995]

deals with the design and implementation of the high-level structure of software

[Britton, 2000]

a discipline of generic design

# What is software architecture?

[Garlan & Shaw, 1993]

the systematic study of the overall structure of software systems

[Perry & Wolf, 1992]

SA = { Elements (*what*), Form (*how*), Rationale (*why*) }

[Kruchten, 1995]

deals with the design and implementation of the high-level structure of software

[Britton, 2000]

a discipline of generic design

# What is software architecture?

[Garlan & Shaw, 1993]

the systematic study of the overall structure of software systems

[Perry & Wolf, 1992]

SA = { Elements (*what*), Form (*how*), Rationale (*why*) }

[Kruchten, 1995]

deals with the design and implementation of the high-level structure of software

[Britton, 2000]

a discipline of generic design

# What is software architecture?

[Garlan & Shaw, 1993]

the systematic study of the overall structure of software systems

[Perry & Wolf, 1992]

SA = { Elements (*what*), Form (*how*), Rationale (*why*) }

[Kruchten, 1995]

deals with the design and implementation of the high-level structure of software

[Britton, 2000]

a discipline of generic design

# What is software architecture?

[Garlan & Perry, 1995]

the structure of the components of a program/system, their interrelationships, and principles and guidelines governing their design and evolution over time

[ANSI/IEEE Std 1471-2000]

the fundamental organisation of a system, embodied in its components, their relationships to each other and the environment, and the principles governing its design and evolution.

[Garlan, 2003]

a bridge between requirements and code (...) a blueprint for implementation.

# What is software architecture?

[Garlan & Perry, 1995]

the structure of the components of a program/system, their interrelationships, and principles and guidelines governing their design and evolution over time

[ANSI/IEEE Std 1471-2000]

the fundamental organisation of a system, embodied in its components, their relationships to each other and the environment, and the principles governing its design and evolution.

[Garlan, 2003]

a bridge between requirements and code (...) a blueprint for implementation.

# What is software architecture?

[Garlan & Perry, 1995]

the structure of the components of a program/system, their interrelationships, and principles and guidelines governing their design and evolution over time

[ANSI/IEEE Std 1471-2000]

the fundamental organisation of a system, embodied in its components, their relationships to each other and the environment, and the principles governing its design and evolution.

[Garlan, 2003]

a bridge between requirements and code (...) a blueprint for implementation.



# What is software architecture?

The **architecture** of a system describes its gross structure which illuminates the top level design decisions, namely

- how is it composed and of which interacting parts?
- where are the pathways of interaction?
- which are the key properties of the parts the architecture rely and/or enforce?

Note:

functional vs non functional properties

- performance, reliability, dependability, portability, scalability, interoperability ...

# What is software architecture?

The **architecture** of a system describes its gross structure which illuminates the top level design decisions, namely

- how is it composed and of which interacting parts?
- where are the pathways of interaction?
- which are the key properties of the parts the architecture rely and/or enforce?

## Note:

functional vs **non functional properties**

- performance, reliability, dependability, portability, scalability, interoperability ...

# What is software architecture?

But what kind of **structure** have we in mind in this course?

- **code-based structures**: such as **modules**, **classes**, **packages** and relationships like **uses**, **inherits from** or **depends on**.
- **run-time structures**: such as **object instances**, **clients**, **servers**, **databases**, **browsers**, **channels**, **broadcasters**, **software buses**, ...
- **allocation structures**: intended to map code-based and run-time structures to external items, such as **network locations**, **physical devices**, **managerial structures** ...
- entails the need for

## Architectural views

- a main issue in Software Architecture research
- this course focus on **run-time structures** entails a particular **view**: the **component & connector viewpoint**

# What is software architecture?

But what kind of **structure** have we in mind in this course?

- **code-based structures**: such as **modules**, **classes**, **packages** and relationships like **uses**, **inherits from** or **depends on**.
- **run-time structures**: such as **object instances**, **clients**, **servers**, **databases**, **browsers**, **channels**, **broadcasters**, **software buses**, ...
- **allocation structures**: intended to map code-based and run-time structures to external items, such as **network locations**, **physical devices**, **managerial structures** ...
- entails the need for

## Architectural views

- a main issue in Software Architecture research
- this course focus on **run-time structures** entails a particular **view**: the **component & connector viewpoint**

# What is software architecture?

## Components:

*Loci of computation and data stores*, encapsulating subsets of the system's functionality and/or data; Equipped with run-time interfaces defining their interaction points and restricting access to those subsets;  
May explicitly define dependencies on their required execution contexts;  
Typically provide **application-specific** services

## Connectors:

Pathways of **interaction** between components; Ensure the flow of data and regulates interaction; Typically provide **application-independent** interaction facilities;  
Examples: procedure calls, pipes, wrappers, shared data structures, synchronisation barriers, etc.

# What is software architecture?

## Configurations:

Specifications of **how** components and connectors are associated;

Examples: relations associating component **ports** to connector **roles**, mapping diagrams, etc.

## Properties:

Set of **non functional** properties associated to any architectural element;

Examples (for components): availability, location, priority, CPU usage, ...

Examples (for connectors): reliability, latency, throughput, ...

# What is software architecture?

## Constraints:

Represent claims about an architectural design that should remain true even as it evolves over time. Typical constraints include restrictions on allowable values of properties, topology, and design vocabulary. For example, **the number of clients of a particular server is less than some maximum value.**

## Styles:

Styles represent **families of related systems**. A style defines a vocabulary of design element types and rules for composing them. Examples include dataflow architectures based on pipes and filters, blackboard architectures based on shared data space and a set of knowledge sources, and layered systems.

## Two examples

from the micro level (a Unix shell script)

```
cat invoices | grep january | sort
```

- Application architecture can be understood based on very few rules
- Applications can be composed by non-programmers
- ... a simple architectural concept that can be comprehended and applied by a broad audience



## Two examples

to the macro level (the WWW architecture)

- Architecture is totally separated from the code
- There is no single piece of code that implements the architecture
- There are multiple pieces of code that implement the various components of the architecture (e.g., different browsers)
- One of the most successful applications is only understood adequately from an architectural point of view

# Architectural style (or pattern)

- classify families of software architectures
- act as **types** for **configurations**
- provide
  - **domain-specific design vocabulary** (eg, set of connector and component types admissible)
  - a set of **constraints** to single out which configurations are well-formed. Eg, a pipeline architecture might constraint valid configurations to be linear sequences of pipes and filters.

# Examples

- Layers
- Client & Server
- Master & Slave
- Publish & Subscribe
- Peer2Peer
- Pipes and Filters
- Event-bus
- Repositories
  - triggering by transactions: [databases](#)
  - triggering by current state: [blackboard](#)
- Table-driven (virtual machines)
- ...

## Pattern: Layers

- helps to structure applications that can be decomposed into groups of subtasks at different levels of abstraction
- Layer  $n$  provides services to layer  $n + 1$  implementing them through services of the layer  $n + 1$
- Typically, service requests resort to synchronous procedure calls

### Examples:

virtual machines (eg, JVM)

APIs (eg, C standard library on top of Unix system calls)

operating systems (eg, Windows NT microkernel)

networking protocols (eg, ISO OSI 7-layer model; TCP/IP)

# Pattern: Client-Server

- permanently active servers supporting multiple clients
- requests typically handled in separate threads
- stateless (session state maintained by the client) vs stateful servers
- interaction by some inter-process communication mechanism

## Examples:

remote DB access

web-based applications

interactive shells

## Pattern: Peer-2-Peer

- symmetric Client-Service pattern
- peers may change roles dynamically
- services can be implicit (eg, through the use of a data stream)

Examples:

multi-user applications

P2P file sharing

## Pattern: Publish-Subscribe

- used to structure distributed systems whose components interact through remote service invocations
- servers publish their capabilities (services + characteristics) to a **broker** component, which accepts client requests and coordinate communication
- allows dynamic reconfiguration
- requires standardisation of service descriptions through IDL (eg CORBA IDL, .Net, WSDL) or a binary standard (eg, Microsoft OLE — methods are called indirectly using pointers)

Examples:

web services

CORBA (for cooperation among heterogeneous OO systems)

# Pattern: Master-Slave

- a master component distributes work load to similar slave components and computes a final result from the results these slaves return
- isolated slaves; no sharing of data
- supports fault-tolerance and parallel computation

Examples:

dependable systems



## Pattern: Event-Bus

- event sources publish messages to particular channels on an event bus
- event listeners subscribe to particular channels and are notified of message availability
- asynchronous interaction
- channels can be implicit (eg, using event patterns)
- allows dynamic reconfiguration
- variant of so-called **event-driven** architectures

Examples:

process monitoring  
trading systems

# Pattern: Pipe & Filter

- suitable for data stream processing
- each processing step is encapsulated into a filter component
- uniform data format
- no shared state
- concurrent processing is natural

Examples:

compilers

Unix shell commands

## Pattern: Blackboard

- suitable for problems with non deterministic solution strategy known
- all components have access to a shared data store
- components feed the blackboard and inspect it for new partial data
- extending the data space is easy, but changing its structure may be hard

### Examples:

complex IA problems (eg, planning, machine learning)

complex applications in computing science (eg, speech recognition; computational chemistry)

# Origins

- Until the 90's, SA was largely an **ad hoc affair** (but see [Dijkstra,69], [Parnas79], ...)
- Descriptions relied on informal box-and-line diagrams, rarely maintained once the system was built

## Challenges

- recognition of a shared **repertoire** of methods, techniques and patterns for structuring complex systems
- quest for **reusable frameworks** for the development of product families

# Origins

- Until the 90's, SA was largely an **ad hoc affair** (but see [Dijkstra,69], [Parnas79], ...)
- Descriptions relied on informal box-and-line diagrams, rarely maintained once the system was built

## Challenges

- recognition of a shared **repertoire** of methods, techniques and patterns for structuring complex systems
- quest for **reusable frameworks** for the development of product families

## The last 15 years

- Formal notations for representing and analysing SA: [ADL](#)
- [Examples](#): Wright, Rapide, SADL, Darwin, C2, Aesop, Piccola

ADLs provide:

- [conceptual framework](#) + [concrete syntax](#)
  - [tools](#) for parsing, displaying, analysing or simulating architectural descriptions
- ACME [Garlan et al, 97] as an architectural [interchange](#) language (a sort of XML for architectural description)
  - Use of model-based prototyping tools (eg Z, VDM) or model-checkers (eg Alloy) to [analyse](#) architectural descriptions

# The last 15 years

- Classification of **architectural styles** characterising **families** of SA and acting as **types** for configurations
- **Standardisation** efforts: ANSI/IEEE Std 1471-2000, but also 'local' standards (eg, Sun's Enterprise JavaBeans architecture)
- Impact of the emergence of a **general purpose (object-oriented) design notation** — UML — closer to practitioners and with a direct link to OO implementations
- SA becomes a mature discipline in Software Engineering; new fields include **documentation** and **architectural recovery** from legacy code

## Current trends

Not only the **world of software development**, but also the **contexts** in which software is being used are changing quickly and in significant ways ...  
... whose impact on Software Engineering, in general, is still emerging

- **Software sub-contracting**: many companies look at themselves more as system **integrators** than as software **developers**:

the code they write is **glue code** ...  
which entails the need for common frameworks to reduce **architectural mismatches**



## Current trends

Not only the **world of software development**, but also the **contexts** in which software is being used are changing quickly and in significant ways ...  
... whose impact on Software Engineering, in general, is still emerging

- **Software sub-contracting**: many companies look at themselves more as system **integrators** than as software **developers**:

the code they write is **glue** code ...  
which entails the need for common frameworks to reduce **architectural mismatches**

## Current trends

- From **object-oriented** to **component-based** development:

- In OO the architecture is **implicit**: source code exposes **class hierarchies** but not the **run-time interaction and configuration**
- Objects are wired at a very low level and the description of the wiring patterns is distributed among them

## Current trends

- CBD retains the basic encapsulation of **data** and **code** principle to increase modularity
- ... but shifts the emphasis from **class inheritance** to **object composition**
- to avoid interference between inheritance and encapsulation and pave the way to a development methodology based on **third-party assembly** of components

## Current trends

- **Software as a service** (and not essentially a **product**) : **open** and **dynamic** systems (able to move, to reconfigure themselves, ...) and often **asynchronous** (cf the **publish-subscribe** style)
- From **programming-in-the-large** to **programming-in-the-world**:

'not only the complexity of building a large application that one needs to deliver, in time and budget, to a client, but of managing an **open-ended structure of autonomous components, possibly distributed and highly heterogeneous.**

This means developing software components that are autonomous and can be interconnected with other components, software or otherwise, and **managing the interconnections themselves** as new components may be required to join in and others to be removed.'

(Fiadeiro, 05)

## Current trends

- **Software as a service** (and not essentially a **product**) : **open** and **dynamic** systems (able to move, to reconfigure themselves, ...) and often **asynchronous** (cf the **publish-subscribe** style)
- From **programming-in-the-large** to **programming-in-the-world**:

'not only the complexity of building a large application that one needs to deliver, in time and budget, to a client, but of managing an **open-ended structure of autonomous components**, possibly distributed and highly heterogeneous.

This means developing software components that are autonomous and can be interconnected with other components, software or otherwise, and **managing the interconnections themselves** as new components may be required to join in and others to be removed.'

(Fiadeiro, 05)

# Challenges

Such trends entails a number of challenges to the way we think about SA

- new **target**: need for an architectural discipline for **reactive systems**  
(often **complex**, **time critical**, **mobile**, etc ...)
- from **composition** to **coordination** (orchestration)
- **interaction** as a first-class citizen and the main form of software composition

# Challenges

Such trends entails a number of challenges to the way we think about SA

- new **target**: need for an architectural discipline for **reactive systems**  
(often **complex**, **time critical**, **mobile**, etc ...)
- from **composition** to **coordination** (orchestration)
- **interaction** as a first-class citizen and the main form of software composition

# The challenge

Software Architecture is challenged by the continuous evolution towards very large, heterogeneous, highly dynamic computing systems, whose behaviour cannot be characterized in terms of a io-relation In most cases, such a behaviour

- is potentially **non-terminating**,
- expresses a **continued interaction** with the system's environment and sub-systems which execute **concurrently** in distributed, often loosely coupled configurations.



# Our approach

There is no **general-purpose, universally tailored,** approach to architectural design ...

Therefore, the course

- introduces 3 different approaches
- addressed from both a **foundational and methodological perspective**
- with **suitable computer-based support** for modelling and analysis

# Our approach


There is no **general-purpose, universally tailored,** approach to architectural design ...

Therefore, the course

- introduces 3 different approaches
- addressed from both a **foundational and methodological perspective**
- with **suitable computer-based support** for modelling and analysis


# Component-oriented architectural design

components & ports (the Stack diagram in a component calculus)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pop} : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow E \\ \text{top} : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow E \\ \text{push} : E \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \end{array} \right.$$



# Component-oriented architectural design

new components from old (assembling the Game of Life)



# Coordination-oriented architectural design

a connector (synchronization barrier in REO)



## Process-oriented architectural design

a configuration (client-server in ACME)

```
System CS = {  
  component client = { port call }  
  component server = { port request }  
  property max-clients-supported = 10;  
  connector rpc = { role plug-cl; role plug-sv }  
}  
attachments = {  
  { call to plug-cl ; server to plug-sv }  
}
```

# Syllabus

- Introduction to software architecture
- Background: **Transition structures and behaviour**
  - transition structures
  - simulation and bisimulation
  - modal logics
- **Process-oriented architectural design**
  - processes and process algebra for architectural description
  - brief introduction of mCRL2: review of process algebra and logics
  - a **process-oriented** ADL: ARCHERY
- **Coordination-oriented architectural design**
  - Exogenous coordination for architectural description
  - REO: principles, models, examples
  - REO semantics: constraint automata & double streams
  - Architectural properties and their verification (VEREOFY)

# Syllabus

- **Component-oriented architectural design**
  - components as **monadic Mealy machines**
  - a component calculus
  - **MMM**: an **HASKELL** library
- **Behavioural constraints**
  - **Real-time** constrains: timed automata and **UPPAAL**
  - **Stochastic** constrains: Markov chains, **PRISM** and **STOCHASTIC REO**



# Pragmatics ...

- **References:** (tba)
- **Assessment:** (tba, but essentially [continous](#))
- **Research context:** Projects
  - MONDRIAN on [foundations for architectural design](#)
  - QAIS on [coalgebraic quantitative reasoning](#)

GRANTS available!