

Alcino Cunha

SPECIFICATION AND MODELING

FIRST-ORDER LOGIC

Universidade do Minho & INESC TEC

2019/20

FROM PROPOSITIONAL TO FIRST-ORDER LOGIC

- Introduces a *domain* or *universe* of discourse
- Generalize propositional symbols to *predicates*
- Allows *quantifiers* and *variables* ranging over the domain

Propositional logic

Worker1_Prepared \wedge Worker2_Prepared
Worker2_working_on_Task1

First-order logic

Prepared(Worker1) \wedge Prepared(Worker2)
working_on(Worker2, Task1)
 $\forall x.$ Prepared(x)

PREDICATES (AKA SETS AND RELATIONS)

| | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| Prepared(Worker1) | = | T |
| Prepared(Worker2) | = | T |
| Prepared(_) | = | ⊥ |
| working_on(Worker1, Task1) | = | T |
| working_on(Worker1, Task2) | = | T |
| working_on(Worker2, Task3) | = | T |
| working_on(_, _) | = | ⊥ |

| | | |
|------------|---|--|
| Prepared | = | {(Worker1), (Worker2)} |
| working_on | = | {(Worker1, Task1), (Worker1, Task2), (Worker2, Task3)} |

SYNTAX

| Category | Identifier |
|------------|------------------------------|
| Variables | x, y, z, \dots |
| Constants | a, b, c, \dots |
| Predicates | P, Q, R, \dots |
| Terms | t, u, v, \dots |
| Formulas | $\phi, \varphi, \psi, \dots$ |

SYNTAX

$$t ::= x$$
$$| c$$
$$\phi ::= P(t_1, \dots, t_{\text{ar}(P)})$$
$$| t = u$$
$$| \top$$
$$| \perp$$
$$| \neg \phi$$
$$| \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$$
$$| \phi_1 \vee \phi_2$$
$$| \phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2$$
$$| \forall x \cdot \phi$$
$$| \exists x \cdot \phi$$

FIRST-ORDER STRUCTURES AND VARIABLE ASSIGNMENTS

- The semantics of a first-order formula is defined over a *first-order structure* \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M} where:
 - ▶ \mathcal{U} is a non-empty domain (or *universe*) of interpretation with equality
 - ▶ \mathcal{M} is an interpretation (*model*) for constants, predicates, and variables:
 - $\mathcal{M}(c) \in \mathcal{U}$
 - $\mathcal{M}(x) \in \mathcal{U}$
 - $\mathcal{M}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{U}^{\text{ar}(P)}$
- The fact that a formula ϕ is valid in a model \mathcal{M} with universe \mathcal{U} is denoted by $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{M} \models \phi$
- When \mathcal{U} is implicit or clear from context we write just $\mathcal{M} \models \phi$

EXAMPLE

- Assuming:

- ▶ $\mathcal{U} = \{\text{Worker1}, \text{Worker2}, \text{Task1}, \text{Task2}\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\text{Worker}) = \{(\text{Worker1}), (\text{Worker2})\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\text{Task}) = \{(\text{Task1}), (\text{Task2})\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\text{Prepared}) = \{(\text{Worker1}), (\text{Worker2})\}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{M}(\text{working_on}) = \{(\text{Worker2}, \text{Task1})\}$

- We have:

$$\mathcal{M} \models \forall x \cdot \text{Worker}(x) \vee \text{Task}(x)$$

$$\mathcal{M} \models \forall x \cdot \text{Worker}(x) \rightarrow \text{Prepared}(x)$$

$$\mathcal{M} \not\models \forall x \cdot \text{Worker}(x) \rightarrow \exists y \cdot \text{Task}(y) \wedge \text{working_on}(x, y)$$

SEMANTICS

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_n) & \text{ iff } (\mathcal{M}(t_1), \dots, \mathcal{M}(t_n)) \in \mathcal{M}(P) \\ \mathcal{M} \models t = u & \text{ iff } \mathcal{M}(t) = \mathcal{M}(u) \\ \mathcal{M} \models \top & \\ \mathcal{M} \not\models \perp & \\ \mathcal{M} \models \neg\phi & \text{ iff } \mathcal{M} \not\models \phi \\ \mathcal{M} \models \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 & \text{ iff } \mathcal{M} \models \phi_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{M} \models \phi_2 \\ \mathcal{M} \models \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 & \text{ iff } \mathcal{M} \models \phi_1 \text{ or } \mathcal{M} \models \phi_2 \\ \mathcal{M} \models \phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2 & \text{ iff } \mathcal{M} \not\models \phi_1 \text{ or } \mathcal{M} \models \phi_2 \\ \mathcal{M} \models \forall x \cdot \phi & \text{ iff } \mathcal{M}[x \mapsto a] \models \phi \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{M} \models \exists x \cdot \phi & \text{ iff } \mathcal{M}[x \mapsto a] \models \phi \text{ for some } a \in \mathcal{U} \end{aligned}$$

FIRST-ORDER LOGIC IN ALLOY

- The universe is a set of uninterpreted *atoms*
- No constants and no functions
- No false nor true
- Quantifications always range over a unary predicate

$$\begin{array}{l} \phi ::= P(x_1, \dots, x_{\text{ar}(P)}) \\ | x = y \\ | \neg \phi \\ | \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \\ | \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ | \phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2 \\ | \forall x \cdot P(x) \rightarrow \phi \\ | \exists x \cdot P(x) \wedge \phi \end{array}$$

FIRST-ORDER LOGIC SYNTAX IN ALLOY

| Alloy | Math |
|---|---|
| $x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_n$ in P | $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ |
| $x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_n$ not in P | $\neg P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ |
| $x = y$ | $x = y$ |
| $x \neq y$ | $\neg(x = y)$ |
| not ϕ | $\neg\phi$ |
| ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 | $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$ |
| ϕ_1 or ϕ_2 | $\phi_1 \vee \phi_2$ |
| ϕ_1 implies ϕ_2 | $\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2$ |
| all $x : P \mid \phi$ | $\forall x \cdot P(x) \rightarrow \phi$ |
| some $x : P \mid \phi$ | $\exists x \cdot P(x) \wedge \phi$ |

PREDICATE DECLARATIONS IN ALLOY

- Unary predicates are known as *signatures* or *sets*
 - ▶ Declared with the **sig** keyword
 - ▶ Sub-set signatures are declared with the **in** keyword
- Predicates of higher arity are known as *relations*
 - ▶ Declared inside signatures

```
sig Worker {  
    working_on : set Task  
}  
sig Prepared in Worker {}  
sig Committed in Prepared {}  
sig Aborted in Worker {}  
sig Task {}
```

PREDICATE DECLARATIONS IN ALLOY

- Declarations induce a set of implicit “typing” constraints
 - ▶ *Top-level* (non sub-set) signatures are disjoint
 - ▶ Sub-set signatures are indeed sub-sets of the parent signature
 - ▶ Relations only contain tuples of the correct signatures
- Some special predicates are pre-defined
 - ▶ **univ** is the union of all top-level signatures
 - ▶ **none** is the empty set
 - ▶ **iden** is the identity binary relation over **univ**

FORMULA EXAMPLES

```
-- There are no prepared workers
```

```
all w : Worker | w not in Prepared
```

```
-- Every worker is either committed or aborted
```

```
all w : Worker | w in Committed or w in Aborted
```

```
all w : Worker | w in Committed implies w not in Aborted
```

```
-- No worker is working on a task
```

```
all w : Worker | all t : Task | w->t not in working_on
```

```
-- Every worker is working on at least one task
```

```
all w : Worker | some t : Task | w->t in working_on
```

```
-- Every worker is working on at most one task
```

```
all w : Worker, t,u : Task |
```

```
    w->t in working_on and w->u in working_on implies t=u
```

WHAT ABOUT SET INCLUSION AND SET OPERATORS?

- Set inclusion can be defined in first-order logic

$$A \subseteq B \equiv \forall x \cdot A(x) \rightarrow B(x)$$

- Set operators act like combinators that build more complex (unary) predicates out of simpler ones

$$(A \cup B)(x) \equiv A(x) \vee B(x)$$

- These (and other) combinators simplify the specification of constraints
- They will be the subject of our next class about *relational logic*, the logic of Alloy!