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- Queries are Boolean expressions, e.g., Caesar AND Brutus
- The search engine returns all documents that satisfy the Boolean expression
- Does Google use the Boolean model?
- Which plays of Shakespeare contain the words Brutus AND Caesar BUT NOT Calpurnia?
- One could grep all of Shakespeare's play for Brutus and Caesar, then strip out lines containing Calpurnia?
- slow (for large corpora);
- NOT Calpurnia is non-trivial;
- Other operations (e.g., find the word Romans near Countrymen) not feasible;
- Ranked retrieval (best documents to return).


## Example

## Brutus AND Caesar but NOT Calpurnia

|  | Antony and <br> Cleopatra | Julius <br> Caesar | The <br> Tempest | Hamlet | Othelo | Macbeth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antony | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Brutus | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Caesar | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Calpurnia | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cleopatra | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| mercy | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| worser | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

1 if play contains word, 0 otherwise

- So we have a $0 / 1$ vector for each term.
- To answer query: take the vector for Brutus, Caesar and Calpurnia (complemented) and perform a bitwise AND.
- 110100 AND 110111 AND $101111=100100$.
- Antony and Cleopatra, Act III, Scene ii

Agrippa [Aside to DOMITIUS ENOBARBUS]: Why, Enobarbus, When Antony found Julius Caesar dead, He cried almost to roaring; and he wept
When at Philippi he found Brutus slain.

- Hamlet, Act III, Scene ii

Lord Polonius: I did enact Julius Caesar I was killed i'the Capitol; Brutus killed me.

- Consider $N=1 M$ documents, each with about $1 K$ terms.
- Average 6bytes/term including spaces and punctuation:
- $6 G B$ of data in the documents.
- Say there are $m=500 \mathrm{~K}$ distinct terms among these.
- $500 K \times 1 M$ matrix has half-a-trillion 0 's and 1 's;
- But it has no more than one billion 1's.
- matrix is extremely sparse. (but why?)
- What's a better representation?
- We only record the 1 positions.
- For each term $T$, we must store a list of all documents that contain $T$.
- Do we use an array or a list for this?

| Brutus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Calpurnia |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\Rightarrow$| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Caesar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | 13 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Updating...

What happens if the word Caesar is added to document 14 ?

Documents to be indexes.

Token stream


Friends, Romans, countrymen

Friends Romans Countrymen
friend roman countryman

| friend $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | | 2 | 4 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| roman | $\rightarrow 1$ | 2 |
| 1 | $\ldots$ |  |
| countryman | $\rightarrow 13$ | 16 |

- Sequence of (modified token, document ID) pairs.

|  |  |  |  | Term | Doc \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {did }}^{\text {did }}$ | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | enact julius | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{1}$ caesar | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | was | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | killed | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | the | 1 |
| Doc1: I did enact |  | Doc2: So let it be |  | capitol brutus | 1 |
| Docl: I did enact |  | with Caesar. The |  | killed | 1 |
| Julius Caesar. I was | + | noble Brutus hath | $\Rightarrow$ | me so | 1 2 |
| Killed I' the Capitol; |  | noble Brutus hath |  | let | 2 |
| Brutus killed me. |  | told you Caesar was |  | it | 2 |
|  |  | ambitious. |  | be with | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | caesar | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | the noble | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | brutus | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | hath | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | told | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | caesar | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | was | 2 |
|  |  |  | ㅁ | ambitious |  |

## ※ In Indexer steps

## - Sort by terms (Core indexing step)

| Term | Doc \# |  | Term | Doc \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  | ambitious | 2 |
| did | 1 |  | be | 2 |
| enact | 1 |  | brutus | 1 |
| julius | 1 |  | brutus | 2 |
| caesar | 1 |  | capitol | 1 |
| I | 1 |  | caesar | 1 |
| was | 1 |  | caesar | 2 |
| killed | 1 |  | caesar | 2 |
| I' | 1 |  | did | 1 |
| the | 1 |  | enact | 1 |
| capitol | 1 |  | hath | 2 |
| brutus | 1 |  | I | 1 |
| killed | 1 |  | I | 1 |
| me | 1 |  | I' | 1 |
| so | 2 | $\Longrightarrow$ | it | 2 |
| let | 2 |  | julius | 1 |
| it | 2 |  | killed | 1 |
| be | 2 |  | killed | 1 |
| with | 2 |  | let | 2 |
| caesar | 2 |  | me | 1 |
| the | 2 |  | noble | 2 |
| noble | 2 |  | so | 2 |
| brutus | 2 |  | the | 1 |
| hath | 2 |  | the | 2 |
| told | 2 |  | told | 2 |
| you | 2 |  | you | 2 |
| caesar | 2 |  | was | 1 |
| was | 2 |  | was | 2 |
| ambitious | 2 |  | with | $\square 2$ |
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- Multiple term entries in a single document are merged.
- Frequency information id added (why frequency?)

term docID freq
ambitious 21

| be | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| brutus | 1 | 1 |

brutus 21
capitol 1
caesar 1
caesar 2
did 1
enact 1
hath $\quad 2 \quad 1$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { I } & 1 & 2 \\ \text { i' } & 1 & 1\end{array}$
it $\quad 2 \quad 1 \Longrightarrow$

| julius | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| killed | 1 | 2 |


| let | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

me 1
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { noble } & 2 & 1 \\ \text { so } & 2 & 1\end{array}$
the 1
the 21
told 21
you 21
was $\quad 1 \quad 1$
was $\quad 2 \quad 1$
with 21
term coll. freq. $\rightarrow$ postings lists


- The result is split into a Dictionary file and a Postings file.


Store terms just once, using pointers between tables.


米 The index we just built

- How do we process a query?
- Consider processing the query: Brutus AND Caesar
- Locate Brutus in the Dictionary;
- Retrieve its postings.
- Locate Caesar in the Dictionary;
- Retrieve its postings.
- "Merge" the two postings:

- Walt through the two postings simultaneously, in time linear in the total number of posting entries



## Notes:

- If the list lengths are $x$ and $y$, the merge takes $O(x+y)$.
- Crucial: postings sorted by document ID.

Merge $(p, q)$
1 answer $\leftarrow\rangle$
2 while $p \neq$ nil and $q \neq$ nil
3 do if docID[p]=docID[q]
4 then $\operatorname{Add}($ answer, docID $[p])$
5 else if $\operatorname{docID}[p]<\operatorname{docID}[q]$
6
7 then $p \leftarrow \operatorname{next}[p]$
else $q \leftarrow \operatorname{next}[q]$
8 return answer

- The Boolean Retrieval model is being able to ask a query that is a Boolean expression:
- Boolean Queries are queries using AND, OR and NOT to join query terms
- Views each document as a set of words
- Is precise: document matches condition or not
- Primary commercial retrieval tool for 3 decades.
- Professional searches (e.g. lawyers) still like Boolean queries:
- You know exactly what you're getting.
- What is the best order for query processing?
- Consider a query that is an AND of $t$ terms.
- For each of the $t$ terms, get its postings, then AND them together.

| Brutus | $\Rightarrow$ | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calpurnia | $\Rightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 34 |
| Caesar | $\Rightarrow$ | 13 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Query:

## Brutus AND Calpurnia AND Caesar

- Process in order of increasing freq:
- start with smallest set, then keep cutting further. This is why we kept frequency in dictionary!!

| Brutus | $\Rightarrow$ | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calpurnia | $\Rightarrow$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 21 | 34 |
| Caesar |  | 13 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Query:

Execute the query as:

## (Caesar AND Brutus) AND Calpurnia

```
Merge \(\left(\left\langle t_{i}\right\rangle\right)\)
    1 terms \(\leftarrow\) SortByFreq \(\left(\left\langle t_{i}\right\rangle\right)\)
    2 result \(\leftarrow\) postings[first[terms]]
    3 terms \(\leftarrow\) rest[terms]
    4 while terms \(\neq\) nil and result \(\neq\) nil
    5 do list \(\leftarrow\) postings[first[terms]]
    \(6 \quad\) terms \(\leftarrow\) rest[terms]
    7 MergelnPlace(result, list)
    8 return result
```

- (madding OR crowd) AND (ignoble OR strife)
- Get freq's for all terms.
- Estimate the size of each OR by the sum of its freq's (conservative).
- Process in increasing order of OR sizes.
- What about phrases?
- Stanford University
- Proximity: Find Gates NEAR Microsoft
- Need index to capture position information in documents.
- Zones in documents: Find document with (author $=$ Ullman) AND (text contains automata)
- Boolean queries give inclusion or exclusion of documents;
- Often we want to rank/group results:
- Need to measure proximity from query to each document;
- Need to decide whether documents presented to user are singletons, or a group of documents covering various aspects of the query.
- Introduction to Information Retrieval, chapter 1;
- Managing Gigabytes, Chapter 3.2;
- Modern Information Retrieval, Chapter 8.2;

