

What is the meaning of `curry` / `uncurry`?

Lecture note for the
Algebra of Programming Course (CP)

2nd year, LCC+LEI, Univ. of Minho

J.N.Oliveira

March 2012

“Good methods, properly explained, sell themselves.”
David Parnas [2]

Curry

From the Haskell Prelude ¹:

$$\text{curry} :: ((a, b) \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c)$$
$$\text{curry } f \ a \ b = f \ (a, b)$$
$$\text{uncurry} :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a, b) \rightarrow c$$
$$\text{uncurry } f \ (a, b) = f \ a \ b$$

Looking closer at `curry` and using \bar{f} as abbreviation of `curry f`:

$$\underbrace{(\overbrace{\text{curry } f \ a}^g)}_{\bar{f}} b = f \ (a, b) \quad (1)$$

To better see what's going on, we want to turn the applications of functions f and g explicit through the binary operator `ap` available from `Cp.hs`, through the binary

$$\text{ap} :: (a \rightarrow b, a) \rightarrow b$$
$$\text{ap} \ (f, a) = f \ a$$

¹Functions named after the mathematician Haskell Curry (1900-82).

which explicitly applies a function to its argument.

We calculate, taking (1) as starting point:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underbrace{(\overbrace{\text{curry } f \text{ } a}^g)}_{\bar{f}} b = f(a, b) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{definition of } g \} \\
 & g b = f(a, b) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{since } g b = \text{ap}(g, b) \} \\
 & \text{ap}(g, b) = f(a, b) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{since } g = \text{curry } f \text{ } a = \bar{f} a \text{ (abbreviation) ; natural-id } \} \\
 & \text{ap}(\bar{f} a, \text{id } b) = f(a, b) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{product of functions: } (f \times g)(x, y) = (f x, g y) \} \\
 & \text{ap}((\bar{f} \times \text{id})(a, b)) = f(a, b) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{composition} \} \\
 & (\text{ap} \cdot (\bar{f} \times \text{id}))(a, b) = f(a, b) \\
 \equiv & \quad \{ \text{extensional equality (=removing points } a \text{ and } b) \} \\
 & \text{ap} \cdot (\bar{f} \times \text{id}) = f
 \end{aligned}$$

In a diagram, denoting type $B \rightarrow C$ by C^B :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C^B & & C^B \times B \xrightarrow{\text{ap}} C \\
 \bar{f} \uparrow & & \bar{f} \times \text{id} \uparrow \quad \nearrow f \\
 A & & A \times B
 \end{array}$$

This means that \bar{f} is a solution of the equation $\text{ap} \cdot (k \times \text{id}) = f$:

$$k = \bar{f} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{ap} \cdot (k \times \text{id}) = f$$

It turns out to be the **unique** such solution:

$$k = \bar{f} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \text{ap} \cdot (k \times \text{id}) = f \quad (2)$$

Thus we have a universal property.

Uncurry

Next we introduce variables into $\underbrace{ap \cdot (k \times id)}_h$:

$$\begin{aligned} & h(a, b) \\ = & \{ h = ap \cdot (k \times id) \} \\ & \underbrace{ap \cdot (k \times id)}_h(a, b) \\ = & \{ \text{product } k \times id \} \\ & ap (k a, b) \\ = & \{ \text{unfold } ap \} \\ & (k a) b \\ = & \{ \text{recall } (k a) b = \text{uncurry } k (a, b) ; \text{abbreviate } \text{uncurry } k \text{ by } \hat{k} \} \\ & \underbrace{\text{uncurry } k (a, b)}_{\hat{k}(a,b)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $h = \hat{k}$ and (2) can be re-written into:

$$k = \bar{f} \Leftrightarrow \hat{k} = f \quad (3)$$

This means that *curry* and *uncurry* are inverses of each other, leading to isomorphism

$$A \rightarrow C^B \cong A \times B \rightarrow C$$

which can also be written as

$$(C^B)^A \cong C^{A \times B} \quad (4)$$

The follow up of this can be found in chapter 3 of [1].

References

- [1] J.N. Oliveira. Program Design by Calculation, 2008. Draft of textbook in preparation (since 1998). Informatics Department, University of Minho. The following chapters are available from the author's website: *An introduction to pointfree programming*, *Recursion in the pointfree style*, *Why monads matter* and *Quasi-inductive datatypes*.
- [2] David Lorge Parnas. Really rethinking "formal methods". *IEEE Computer*, 43(1):28–34, 2010.